arXiv:1304.4316v1 [math.PR] 16 Apr 2013

LOCALIZATION OF FRACTIONAL WIENER FUNCTIONALS AND APPLICATIONS

KAI HE[‡], JIAGANG REN[¶], HUA ZHANG[¶]

[‡]*Institute of Applied Mathematics, Academy of Mathematics*
and System Sciences, Chinese Academy of Sciences,
Beijing 100190, P.R.China
[¶]*School of Mathematics and Computational Science, Sun Yat-Sen University,*
Guangzhou, Guangdong 510275, P.R.China
Emails: K. He: hekai0@amss.ac.cn
J. Ren: renjg@mail.sysu.edu.cn
H. Zhang: zh860801@163.com

Abstract. In this paper we localize some of Watanabe's results on fractional Wiener functionals, and use them to give a precise estimate of the difference between two Donsker's delta functionals even with fractional differentiability. As an application, the convergence rate of the density of the Euler scheme for non-Markovian stochastic differential equations is obtained.

1. Introduction

During the last years the analysis on Wiener functionals with fractional smoothness in the sense of Malliavin calculus has drawn increasing attention. There are two ways to define fractional order Sobolev spaces as intermediate spaces between the Sobolev spaces with integer differential index. One way is the complex interpolation method. It makes use of fractional powers of the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck operator, and we denote these fractional order Sobolev spaces by $\mathbb{D}^p_\alpha$, $p > 1$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. The spaces $\mathbb{D}^p_\alpha$ are natural and typical ones which corresponds to Bessel potential spaces in classical analysis. However, it is not easy to see that the space $\mathbb{D}^p_\alpha$ with $0 < \alpha < 1$ is invariant under the composition with Lipschitz functions. To circumvent this difficulty, Watanabe [20] introduced real interpolation fractional order Sobolev spaces on Wiener spaces by using the trace method. Then an equivalent method, the K-method, was used in Airault, Malliavin and Ren [2] to study the smoothness of stopping times of diffusion processes. The advantage of the K-method is that it describes explicitly how well one can approximate a fractionally smooth Wiener functional by a sequence of smooth functionals. For the equivalence between the trace method and the K-method, we refer to [19, Chap. 1]. Also it should be mentioned that later Hirsch [9] proved that the complex interpolation fractional order Sobolev spaces are in fact invariant under the composition with Lipschitz functions, too.

The aims of the present paper are, roughly speaking, to study local versions of some of the results of [20] and to investigate their applications in the Euler scheme of non-Markovian stochastic differential equations. In particular, we establish a precise estimate of the difference between two Donsker's delta functionals in terms of fractional order

---

Key words and phrases. Fractional order, Donsker's delta function, Integration by parts, Non-Markovian, Euler scheme, Convergence rate.

This work is supported by NSF of China (No. 11171358) and the Key Laboratory of Random Complex Structures and Data, Academy of Mathematics and Systems Science, Chinese Academy of Sciences.

Sobolev norms, and, as a consequence, we then dominate the difference between two conditional expectations in the sense of Hölder norms.

The Euler scheme is an useful tool in the numerical simulation of solutions of stochastic differential equations, and has theoretical value as well. Let $(X(\cdot))$ be the the unique solution to

$$X(t) = x + \int_0^t b(s, X(s))ds + \int_0^t \sigma(s, X(s))dW(s), \tag{1}$$

where b, σ are respectively Lipschtiz continuous mapping from $\mathbb{R}^d$ to $\mathbb{R}^d$ and $\mathbb{R}^d \otimes \mathbb{R}^r$, and $(W(\cdot))$ is an r-dimensional Brownian motion. Let $T > 0$ be a fixed time horizon, and T/n represent the discretization step. For $kT/n < t \leqslant (k+1)T/n$, the Euler scheme is defined by

$$X_n(t) = X_n(\frac{kT}{n}) + b(\frac{kT}{n}, X_n(\frac{kT}{n}))(t - \frac{kT}{n}) + \sigma(\frac{kT}{n}, X_n(\frac{kT}{n}))(W(t) - W(\frac{kT}{n})).$$

There are two kinds of weak approximations. The first one concerns

$$\xi_1(x, T, n) := E[f(X(T))] - E[f(X_n(T))],$$

where f is a suitable class of test function. The second one is the approximation of the density $p_{X(T)}$ of the law of $X(T)$, i.e.,

$$\xi_2(x, T, n) := p_{X(T)} - p_{X_n(T)}.$$

When studying these two kinds of quantities, people's interest focuses on the convergence rate or an error expansion of $\xi_1(x, T, n)$ and $\xi_2(x, T, n)$ in terms of T/n, due to the fact that analysis of these two kinds of quantities turns out to be more important for applications, for instance in finance and biology, etc. There have been a lot of progresses in this area. Suppose that the test function f and the coefficients b and σ are sufficiently smooth and f has polynomial growth. Without any additional assumption on the generator, Talay and Tubaro [18] derive an error expansion of order 1 for $\xi_1(x, T, n)$. Bally and Talay [5] also obtain the same kind of result for bounded Borel functions f under the hypoellipticity assumption on the coefficients. These authors also extend their results to $\xi_2(x, T, n)$ for a slightly modified Euler scheme in [6]. It is also worth noting that Kohatsu-Higa and Pettersson in [12] introduce another way to prove weak error expansion of $\xi_1(x, T, n)$ and $\xi_2(x, T, n)$ which is based on the integration by parts formula of the Malliavin calculus. On the other hand, by using the fractional calculus in the Malliavin calculus, Watanabe and the second named author of the present paper obtained the convergence of $\xi_2(x, T, n)$ in fractional order Sobolev spaces. All these works are confined in the context of Markovian SDEs.

Establishing the estimate of the difference between two Donsker's delta functionals enables us to study the Euler scheme of non-Markovian stochastic differential equations. In other words, we allow the coefficients in stochastic differential equations to look into the past. More precisely, we consider the solution to the equation of the form:

$$X(t) = x + \int_0^t b(s, X(\cdot))ds + \int_0^t \sigma(s, X(\cdot))dW(s), \tag{2}$$

where $\sigma : [0, \infty] \times C([0, \infty]; \mathbb{R}^d) \to \mathbb{R}^d \otimes \mathbb{R}^r$ and $b : [0, \infty] \times C([0, \infty]; \mathbb{R}^d) \to \mathbb{R}^d$.

Let us describe our ideas explicitly as follows. Since the coefficients (σ, b) depend not only on the present values of the solution processes, but also on its previous values too, the analysis of convergence rate or error expansion of $\xi_1(x, T, n)$ and $\xi_2(x, T, n)$ for SDE (2) is quite different to that for SDE (1). In particular, it seems difficult to extend the results in [5] [6] to stochastic differential equations which are not Markovian SDEs since the approaches used used there rely heavily on the Feynman-Kac partial differential equations associated with SDE (1), . Here unlike the approaches in [5] [6], by using the fact that the heat kernel can be given by the generalized expectation of Donsker's delta functionals, we will establish the convergence rate of $\xi_2(x,T,n)$ for SDE (2). Of course, since the coefficients in (2) may depend on the past trajectories $\{X_s, 0 \leqslant s \leqslant t\}$ of the solution, we should modify the Euler scheme for non-Markovian stochastic differential equations which will be carried out in Section 4. In order to derive a convergence rate of $\xi_2(x,T,n)$, the strong approximation of the Euler scheme for non-Markovian stochastic differential equations in Sobolev spaces of appropriate orders in the Malliavin calculus sense is needed.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we recall some results of the Malliavin calculus that we will use in the sequel, and study some properties of the fractional order Sobolev spaces under local assumptions. In Section 3, we give a precise estimate of the difference between two Donsker's functionals. In Section 4, we are devoted to the proof of the convergence rate of $\xi_2(x,T,n)$.

2. Recalls on the Malliavin calculus

We first recall briefly some basic ingredients in the Malliavin calculus and the reader is referred, e.g., to [11, 15, 16] for more details. Let (B,H,μ) be an abstract Wiener space.We will denote the gradient operator (or Shigekawa's H-derivative) by D, its dual divergence operator (or the Skorohod operator) by δ and the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck operator by $L := -\delta D$. The Sobolev spaces $\mathbb{D}^p_\alpha$, $1<p<\infty$, $\alpha\in\mathbb{R}$, of real Wiener and generalized Wiener functionals are defined by

$$\mathbb{D}^p_\alpha = (1-L)^{-\alpha/2}(\mathbb{L}^p)$$

with the norm

$$\|F\|_{\alpha,p} = \|(1-L)^{\alpha/2}F\|_{\mathbb{L}^p},$$

where $\mathbb{L}^p$ is the usual $\mathbb{L}^p$-space. In particular

$$\mathbb{D}^p_0 = \mathbb{L}^p, \quad \mathbb{D}^{p'}_{\alpha'} \subseteq \mathbb{D}^p_\alpha \quad \text{if} \quad p<p' \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha<\alpha', \quad (\mathbb{D}^p_\alpha)' = \mathbb{D}^{p/(p-1)}_{-\alpha}.$$

Similarly as in the Schwartz theory of distributions, we define the space of test Wiener functionals by

$$\mathbb{D}^{\infty-}_{\infty} = \bigcap_{\alpha>0}\bigcap_{1<p<\infty}\mathbb{D}^p_\alpha.$$

Its dual, the space of generalized Wiener functionals, is given by

$$\mathbb{D}^{1+}_{-\infty} = \bigcup_{\alpha>0}\bigcup_{1<p<\infty}\mathbb{D}^p_{-\alpha}.$$

If we consider, more generally, E-valued functionals, E being a real separable Hilbert space, the corresponding spaces are denoted by $\mathbb{L}^p(E)$, $\mathbb{D}^p_\alpha(E)$, etc. In the following, we use the following convention:

$$\text{for} \quad F\in\mathbb{D}^{1+}_{-\infty}, \quad \text{we set} \quad \|F\|_{\alpha,p}=\infty \quad \text{if} \quad F\notin\mathbb{D}^p_\alpha.$$

We will need the following result (see Kusuoka [13]). For the space $\mathbb{D}^p_\alpha$, the following continuity of the multiplication holds: for every $\alpha\geqslant 0$ and $1<p,q,r<\infty$ such that

$1/p+1/q=1/r$, the map $(F,G)\in\mathbb{D}^p_\alpha\times\mathbb{D}^q_\alpha\to F\cdot G\in\mathbb{D}^r_\alpha$ is continuous, i.e., there exists a positive constant $C=C(p,q;\alpha)$ such that

$$\|F\cdot G\|_{\alpha,r}\leqslant C\|F\|_{\alpha,p}\cdot\|G\|_{\alpha,q}\quad\text{for all}\quad F,G\in\mathbb{D}^{\infty-}_{\infty}. \tag{3}$$

By duality, for every $\alpha\geqslant 0$ and $1<p,q,r<\infty$ such that $1/p+1/q=1/r$, there exists a positive constant $C=C(p,q;\alpha)$ such that

$$\|F\cdot G\|_{-\alpha,r}\leqslant C\|F\|_{\alpha,p}\cdot\|G\|_{-\alpha,q}\quad\text{for all}\quad F,G\in\mathbb{D}^{\infty-}_{\infty}. \tag{4}$$

The natural coupling of $G\in\mathbb{D}^p_\alpha$ and $\Phi\in(\mathbb{D}^p_\alpha)'=\mathbb{D}^{p/(p-1)}_{-\alpha}$ (or that of $G\in\mathbb{D}^{\infty-}_{\infty}$ and $\Phi\in\mathbb{D}^{1+}_{-\infty}$) is denoted by $E(G\cdot\Phi)$; in particular, when $G=\mathbf{1}$, the Wiener functional identically equal to one, $E(\mathbf{1}\cdot\Phi)$ is simply denoted by $E(\Phi)$ and is called the generalized expectation of $\Phi\in\mathbb{D}^{1+}_{-\infty}$. $E(\Phi)$ coincides with the usual expectation $\int_B\Phi(\omega)\mu(d\omega)$ when Φ is given by an integrable random variable.

Let $\widehat{C}(\mathbb{R}^d):=\{f:\mathbb{R}^d\to\mathbb{R},\text{continuous},\lim_{|x|\to\infty}f(x)=0\}$ and define a family of Banach subspaces of $S'(\mathbb{R}^d)$ by

$$S_{2n}(\mathbb{R}^d)=(1+|x|^2-\Delta)^{-n}(\widehat{C}(\mathbb{R}^d)),\qquad n=0,\pm1,\pm2,\cdots$$

with the norm

$$\|u\|_{S_{2n}(\mathbb{R}^d)}=\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^d}|[(1+|x|^2-\Delta)^n u](x)|.$$

So that

$$S(\mathbb{R}^d)\subset\cdots\subset S_2(\mathbb{R}^d)\subset S_0(\mathbb{R}^d)=\widehat{C}(\mathbb{R}^d)\subset S_{-2}(\mathbb{R}^d)\subset\cdots\subset S'(\mathbb{R}^d),$$

and

$$S(\mathbb{R}^d)=\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty}S_{2n}(\mathbb{R}^d),\quad S'(\mathbb{R}^d)=\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty}S_{-2n}(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

Definition 2.1. *Given a d-dimensional Wiener functional $F:B\to\mathbb{R}^d$ and $T\in S'(\mathbb{R}^d)$, we say that $T\circ F$ is defined in $\mathbb{D}^{1+}_{-\infty}$ and $T\circ F=\Phi$ for some $\Phi\in\mathbb{D}^{1+}_{-\infty}$ if there exists $n\in\mathbb{Z}^+$ (the set of nonnegative integers), $1<p<\infty$, $\alpha>0$ such that $T\in S_{-2n}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $\Phi\in\mathbb{D}^p_{-\alpha}$ and the following holds: For every sequence $\{\phi_k:k=1,2,\cdots\}\subset S(\mathbb{R}^d)$ such that $\|\phi_k-T\|_{S_{-2n}(\mathbb{R}^d)}\to0$ as $k\to\infty$, it holds that $\|\phi_k\circ F-\Phi\|_{-\alpha,p}\to0$ as $k\to\infty$ (note that $\phi_k\circ F\in\mathbb{L}^\infty\subset\mathbb{D}^p_{-\alpha}$ for all k).*

Clearly Φ is uniquely determined by F and T.

We denote by $C^\infty_p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ the set of all infinitely continuously differential functions $f:\mathbb{R}^d\to\mathbb{R}$ such that f and all of its partial derivatives have polynomial growth. Let $C^v(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $v\geqslant0$, be the Banach space of $[v]$-times continuously differentiable functions on $\mathbb{R}^d$ whose $[v]$-th derivatives are uniformly Hölder continuous with exponent $v-[v]$ with the norm ($[v]$ is the integer part of v)

$$\|f\|_{C^v(\mathbb{R}^d)}=\sum_{n;|n|\leqslant[v]}|\partial^n f|_\infty+\sum_{n;|n|=[v]}\sup_{x\neq y}|\partial^n f(x)-\partial^n f(y)|/|x-y|^{v-[v]},$$

with the notation $n=(n_1,\cdots,n_d)$, $|n|=\sum_{i=1}^d n_i$ and $\partial^n=\partial_1^{n_1}\cdots\partial_d^{n_d}$, where $\partial_i=\partial/\partial x_i$. Note that $(1-\Delta)^{-v/2}(C^0(\mathbb{R}^d))\subset C^v(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

For a real separable Hilbert space E, we denote by $\mathbb{D}^{\infty-}_{\alpha}(E)=\bigcap_{1<p<\infty}\mathbb{D}^p_\alpha(E)$ and $\mathbb{L}^{\infty-}(E)=\bigcap_{1<p<\infty}\mathbb{L}^p(E)$. In the Malliavin calculus, a key role is played by the Malliavin covariance matrix which is defined as follows.

Definition 2.2. *Suppose that $F = (F_1, \cdots, F_d)$ is a random vector whose components belong to the space $\mathbb{D}_1^{\infty-}$. We associate to F the following random symmetric nonnegative definite matrix:*

$$\Sigma_F(\omega) = (\sigma_F^{ij}(\omega))_{1\leqslant i,j\leqslant d} := ((DF_i, DF_j)_H)_{1\leqslant i,j\leqslant d}.$$

The matrix $\Sigma_F(\omega)$ will be called the Malliavin covariance matrix of the random vector F. We will say that a random vector $F = (F_1, \cdots, F_d)$ whose components are in $\mathbb{D}_\infty^{\infty-}$ is nondegenerate if its Malliavin covariance matrix $\Sigma_F(\omega)$ is invertible a.s. and

$$\Gamma_F(\omega) = (\gamma_F^{ij}(\omega))_{1\leqslant i,j\leqslant d} := (\Sigma_F(\omega))^{-1} \in \mathbb{L}^{\infty-}(\mathbb{R}^d \otimes \mathbb{R}^d).$$

Set $d\mu_G := G \cdot d\mu$ and

$$p_{F,G}(y) = \frac{\mu_G(F \in dy)}{dy}.$$

Denote by E_G the integral w.r.t μ_G. The following proposition is taken from [3, Proposition 23] or [4, Lemma 2.1].

Proposition 2.3. *Let $F \in \mathbb{D}_2^{\infty-}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and let $G \in \mathbb{D}_1^{\infty-}$ take values on $[0,1]$ with*

$$1 + E_G[\|D\ln G\|_H^p] < \infty \quad \textit{for every} \quad p \geqslant 1.$$

Assume that A is a measurable set such that $G1_A = 0$ and for any $p > 1$,

$$E[|\det(\Sigma_F)|^{-p} 1_{A^c}] < \infty.$$

Then the law of F under μ_G is absolutely continuous with respect to the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{R}^d$. Moreover, for every $p > d$ there exist some universal constants C and $q > 1$ depending on d and p such that the density $p_{F,G}$ satisfies

$$p_{F,G}(y) \leqslant C(1 + E_G[|\det(\Sigma_F)|^{-p}])^q (1 + \|F\|_{2,p,G} + \|LF\|_{p,G})^q (1 + E_G[\|D\ln G\|_H^p])^q.$$

In the rest of this article, we will adopt the following notations. Let $\Psi : [0,\infty) \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ be a C_b^∞ function (Ψ and all of its partial derivatives are bounded) such that

$$1_{[0,\frac{1}{8}]} \leqslant \Psi \leqslant 1_{[0,\frac{1}{4}]},$$

and $\Psi_1 : [0,\infty) \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ be a C_b^∞ function such that

$$1_{[0,\frac{1}{4}]} \leqslant \Psi_1 \leqslant 1_{[0,\frac{1}{2}]}$$

and

$$\sup_x |(\ln \Psi_1(x))'|^p \Psi_1(x) < \infty \quad \text{for every } p \geqslant 1. \tag{5}$$

We define R_{F_1,F_2} by

$$R_{F_1,F_2} = \frac{\|D(F_1 - F_2)\|_H^2 (1 + \|\Sigma_{F_1}\|_2^2)^{(d-1)/2}}{\det(\Sigma_{F_1})},$$

where $F_1, F_2 \in \mathbb{D}_\infty^{\infty-}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $\|DF_i\|_H^2 = \sum_{j=1}^d \|DF_i^j\|_H^2$, $i = 1,2$ and $\|\Sigma_{F_1}\|_2$ is the Hilbert-Schmidt norm of the Malliavin covariance matrix Σ_{F_1}. It is obvious that $\Psi_1(R_{F_1,F_2}) = 1$ on the set $\{\Psi(R_{F_1,F_2}) \neq 0\}$. For the set $\bigcup_{k=0}^\infty \{D^k(\Psi(R_{F_1,F_2})) \neq 0\}$ and $\bigcup_{k=0}^\infty \{D^k(\Psi_1(R_{F_1,F_2})) \neq 0\}$, we have the following result:

$$\bigcup_{k=0}^\infty \{D^k(\Psi(R_{F_1,F_2})) \neq 0\} \subset \{\det(\Sigma_{F_2+t(F_1-F_2)}) \geqslant 4^{-d} \frac{(\det(\Sigma_{F_1}))^d}{\|\Sigma_{F_1}\|^{d(d-1)}}\} \quad \text{a.s.,} \tag{6}$$

and

$$\bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty}\{D^k(\Psi_1(R_{F_1,F_2}))\neq 0\}\subset\{\det(\Sigma_{F_2+t(F_1-F_2)})\geqslant(1-\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2})^{2d}\frac{(\det(\Sigma_{F_1}))^d}{\|\Sigma_{F_1}\|^{d(d-1)}}\}\quad\text{a.s.},\tag{7}$$

where $\|\Sigma_{F_1}\|$ is the operator norm. The proof of this result can be found in [7, Remark 14].

Watanabe [20] has introduced the fractional order Sobolev spaces on the Wiener space and studied their applications to solutions of stochastic differential equations. An equivalent approach to these spaces using K-method then appeared in [2] and [10]. Now let us recall results in this respect that will be needed in the sequel.

Definition 2.4. *For $0<\alpha<1$ we define*

$$\mathcal{E}^p_\alpha=(\mathbb{L}^p,\mathbb{D}^p_1)_{\alpha,p},\tag{8}$$

where $(\cdot,\cdot)$ denotes the real interpolation space as in [19].

There are several equivalent norms in $\mathcal{E}^p_\alpha$ (cf. [19]). The one we shall use is given by Peetre's K-method:

$$\|F\|_{\mathcal{E}^p_\alpha}:=\big[\int_0^1[\epsilon^{-\alpha}K(\epsilon,p,F)]^p\frac{d\epsilon}{\epsilon}\big]^{\frac{1}{p}},\tag{9}$$

where

$$K(\epsilon,p,F):=\inf\{\|F_1\|_p+\epsilon\|F_2\|_{1,p},F_1+F_2=F,F_1,F_2\in\mathbb{L}^p\}.\tag{10}$$

Remark 2.5. *Let $0<\alpha<1$, then $F\in\mathcal{E}^p_\alpha$ if and only if*

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}2^{np\alpha}K(2^{-n},p,F)^p<\infty.$$

For the relationship between $\mathbb{D}^p_\alpha$ and $\mathcal{E}^p_\alpha$, the following theorem is proved in [20, Theorem 1.1] directly using specific properties of Wiener functionals.

Theorem 2.6. *For every $0<\alpha<1$, $1<p<\infty$ and $\varepsilon>0$, we have*

$$\mathcal{E}^p_{\alpha+\varepsilon}\subset\mathbb{D}^p_\alpha\subset\mathcal{E}^p_{\alpha-\varepsilon}.$$

By the above theorem we deduce immediately that for every $\alpha>0$, $\mathbb{D}^p_{\alpha-}:=\bigcap_{0<\beta<\alpha}\mathbb{D}^p_\beta=\bigcap_{0<\beta<\alpha}\mathcal{E}^p_\beta=:\mathcal{E}^p_{\alpha-}$ and $\mathbb{D}^{\infty-}_{\alpha-}:=\bigcap_{0<\beta<\alpha}\mathbb{D}^{\infty-}_\beta=\bigcap_{0<\beta<\alpha}\mathcal{E}^{\infty-}_\beta=:\mathcal{E}^{\infty-}_{\alpha-}$.

Now we will study local properties of the space $\mathcal{E}^p_\alpha$ under local assumptions. In what follows we denote by C a generic constant which can be different from one formula to another.

Let $F\in\mathbb{D}^{\infty-}_\alpha$ such that $F>0$ a.s. and $1/F\in\mathbb{L}^{\infty-}$. It is well known that if α is a positive integer, then $1/F\in\mathbb{D}^{\infty-}_\alpha$. When $\alpha>0$ is not integer, it is proved in [20] that $1/F$ only belongs to $\mathbb{D}^{\infty-}_{\alpha-}$. Our first result is a local version of this result whose proof is based on the K-method.

Theorem 2.7. *Let $\alpha=k+\sigma$, $k\in\mathbb{N}$, $0<\sigma\leqslant 1$. Suppose that $F\in\mathcal{E}^{\infty-}_\alpha$ or $\mathbb{D}^{\infty-}_\alpha$ is a nonnegative Wiener functional, $G\in\mathbb{D}^{\infty-}_{k+1}$, and A is a measurable set such that $G1_A=0$ and*

$$E[|\frac{1}{F}|^p1_{A^c}]<\infty,\ \forall p>1.$$

Then we have

$$\frac{1}{F}\cdot G\in\mathbb{D}^{\infty-}_{\alpha-}.\tag{11}$$

Furthermore, if α is a positive integer, $F, G \in \mathbb{D}_{\alpha}^{\infty-}$, then we have

$$\frac{1}{F} \cdot G \in \mathbb{D}_{\alpha}^{\infty-}. \tag{12}$$

Remark 2.8. *In fact, as can be seen from the proof of Theorem 2.7, for every $\Psi \in C_b^{\infty}$, we have $\Psi(1/F) \cdot G \in \mathbb{D}_{\alpha-}^{\infty-}$, and it's also easy to obtain that for any $\beta < \alpha$, $p < p'$, we have*

$$\|\Psi(\frac{1}{F}) \cdot G\|_{\beta,p} \leqslant C\|G\|_{k+1,p'}. \tag{13}$$

Furthermore, if there exists $G_1 \in \mathbb{D}_{k+1}^{\infty-}$ such that $G_1 = 1$ on the set $\{G \neq 0\}$ and $G_1 1_A = 0$, then we have $G = G \cdot G_1$ and by $\Psi(1/F) \cdot G_1 \in \mathbb{D}_{\alpha-}^{\infty-}$,

$$\|\Psi(\frac{1}{F}) \cdot G\|_{\beta,p} = \|\Psi(\frac{1}{F}) \cdot G_1 \cdot G\|_{\beta,p} \leqslant C\|G\|_{\beta,p'}. \tag{14}$$

In order to prove Theorem 2.7, we need the following lemma.

Lemma 2.9. *Let $0 < \alpha < 1$, $4 < p < \infty$. Suppose that $F \in \mathbb{L}^p$ is a nonnegative Wiener functional and $F_n \in \mathbb{L}^p$ such that*

(i)

$$\|F_n - F\|_p \leqslant C2^{-n\alpha};$$

(ii)

$$E[|\frac{1}{F}|^p 1_{A^c}] < \infty.$$

Set

$$\widetilde{F_n} := F_n \vee 0 + 2^{-n\alpha}.$$

Then we have

$$\sup_n E[|\frac{1}{\widetilde{F_n}}|^{p/4} 1_{A^c}] < \infty. \tag{15}$$

Proof. Obviously

$$\|\widetilde{F_n} - F\|_p \leqslant C2^{-n\alpha}, \tag{16}$$

$$E[|\frac{1}{\widetilde{F_n}}|^q|] \leqslant 2^{nq\alpha}, \quad \forall q > 1. \tag{17}$$

We define

$$r_n = |\frac{\widetilde{F_n} - F}{F}| 1_{A^c}.$$

Therefore we split (15) into two parts:

$$\begin{aligned} E[|\frac{1}{\widetilde{F_n}}|^{p/4} 1_{A^c}] &= E[|\frac{1}{\widetilde{F_n}}|^{p/4} 1_{A^c} 1_{\{r_n \leqslant \frac{1}{2}\}}] + E[|\frac{1}{\widetilde{F_n}}|^{p/4} 1_{A^c} 1_{\{r_n > \frac{1}{2}\}}] \\ &=: \Xi_1 + \Xi_2. \end{aligned}$$

Since on A^c,

$$\frac{2}{3F} 1_{\{r_n \leqslant \frac{1}{2}\}} \leqslant \frac{1}{\widetilde{F_n}} 1_{\{r_n \leqslant \frac{1}{2}\}} \leqslant \frac{2}{F} 1_{\{r_n \leqslant \frac{1}{2}\}},$$

by Assumption (ii) we have

$$\sup_n \Xi_1 \leqslant E[|\frac{2}{F}|^{p/4} 1_{A^c} 1_{\{r_n \leqslant \frac{1}{2}\}}] < \infty.$$

Next let us deal with the term Ξ_2. By (16) and Assumption (ii), we have

$$\begin{aligned} P(A^c\{r_n > \frac{1}{2}\}) &\leqslant 2^{p/2} E[(\frac{\widetilde{F_n} - F}{F})^{p/2} 1_{A^c}] \\ &\leqslant 2^{p/2} \|\widetilde{F_n} - F\|_p^{p/2} \cdot \|\frac{1}{F} 1_{A^c}\|_p^{p/2} \\ &\leqslant C 2^{-np\alpha/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, by (17) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_n \Xi_2 &\leqslant E[|\frac{1}{\widetilde{F_n}}|^p]^{1/4} \cdot P(A^c\{r_n > \frac{1}{2}\})^{1/2} \\ &\leqslant \sup_n C 2^{np\alpha/4} \cdot 2^{-np\alpha/4} < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

and hence we complete the proof. □

Proof of Theorem 2.7. Since there is no essential difference, we assume $0 < \alpha < 1$ and $F \in \mathcal{E}_\alpha^{\infty-}$ for simplicity. For every $p' > 1$, let $p = 18p'$, $r = 2p' = p/9$ and $r' = p/4$. By Remark 2.5, for each n, we can find $F_n \in \mathbb{D}_1^p$ such that

$$\|F_n - F\|_p \leqslant C 2^{-n\alpha}, \quad \|F_n\|_{1,p} \leqslant C 2^{n(1-\alpha)}.$$

Set

$$\widetilde{F_n} := F_n \vee 0 + 2^{-n\alpha}.$$

By the previous lemma, we have

$$\sup_n \|\frac{1}{\widetilde{F_n}} 1_{A^c}\|_{r'} < \infty.$$

Hence by Hölder inequality, we have

$$\|D(\frac{1}{\widetilde{F_n}}) 1_{A^c}\|_r \leqslant C \|D\widetilde{F_n}\|_p \cdot \|\frac{1}{\widetilde{F_n}} 1_{A^c}\|_{r'}^2 \leqslant C 2^{n(1-\alpha)}.$$

Therefore, by the Meyer equivalence and $\{DG \neq 0\} \subset \{G \neq 0\} \subset A^c$ we have

$$\|\frac{1}{\widetilde{F_n}} \cdot G\|_{1,p'} \leqslant C E[(|\frac{1}{\widetilde{F_n}}|^r + \|D(\frac{1}{\widetilde{F_n}})\|_H^r) 1_{A^c}]^{\frac{1}{r}} \cdot \|G\|_{1,r} \leqslant C 2^{n(1-\alpha)}.$$

A similar calculus gives

$$\|\frac{1}{\widetilde{F_n}} \cdot G - \frac{1}{F} \cdot G\|_{p'} \leqslant \|G\|_r \cdot \|F - \widetilde{F_n}\|_p \cdot \|\frac{1}{\widetilde{F_n}} 1_{A^c}\|_{r'} \cdot \|\frac{1}{F} 1_{A^c}\|_{r'} \leqslant C 2^{-n\alpha}.$$

By Remark 2.5, we obtain

$$\|\frac{1}{F} \cdot G\|_{\mathcal{E}_\beta^{p'}} < \infty, \quad \forall \beta < \alpha,$$

and this completes the proof. □

If the assumption $G \in \mathbb{D}_{k+1}^{\infty-}$ in Theorem 2.7 is replaced by $G \in \mathcal{E}_\alpha^{\infty-}$, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 2.10. *Let $\alpha = k+\sigma$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $0 < \sigma \leqslant 1$. Suppose that $F \in \mathcal{E}_{\alpha}^{\infty-}$ or $\mathbb{D}_{\alpha}^{\infty-}$ is a nonnegative Wiener functional, $G \in \mathcal{E}_{\alpha}^{\infty-}$ or $\mathbb{D}_{\alpha-}^{\infty-}$, and A is a measurable set such that $G1_A = 0$ and*

$$E[|\frac{1}{F}|^p 1_{A^c}] < \infty, \ \forall p > 1.$$

Then we have

$$\frac{1}{F}\cdot G \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty-}_{(k+\frac{\sigma}{2^{k+1}})-}. \tag{18}$$

Proof. Without loss of generality, we assume $0 < \alpha < 1$, $F \in \mathcal{E}_{\alpha}^{\infty-}$ and $G \in \mathcal{E}_{\alpha}^{\infty-}$ for simplicity. For every $p' > 1$, let p, r, r' as in the proof of Theorem 2.7. By Remark 2.5, for each n, we can find $F_n \in \mathbb{D}_1^p$, $G_n \in \mathbb{D}_1^r$ such that

$$\|F_n - F\|_p \leqslant C2^{-n\alpha}, \quad \|F_n\|_{1,p} \leqslant C2^{n(1-\alpha)}$$

and

$$\|G_n - G\|_r \leqslant C2^{-n\alpha}, \quad \|G_n\|_{1,r} \leqslant C2^{n(1-\alpha)}.$$

Let

$$\widetilde{F_n} := F_n \vee 0 + 2^{-n\alpha/2}.$$

Then $\widetilde{F_n}$ satisfies

$$\|\widetilde{F_n} - F\|_p \leqslant C2^{-n\alpha/2}, \quad \|\widetilde{F_n}\|_{1,p} \leqslant C2^{n(1-\alpha/2)}.$$

Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\frac{1}{\widetilde{F_n}}\cdot G_n - \frac{1}{F}\cdot G\|_{p'} &\leqslant \|\frac{1}{\widetilde{F_n}}\cdot G - \frac{1}{F}\cdot G\|_{p'} + \|\frac{1}{\widetilde{F_n}}(G_n - G)\|_{p'} \\ &=: \Lambda_1 + \Lambda_2. \end{aligned}$$

By the same method as in the proof of Theorem 2.7, we have

$$\Lambda_1 \leqslant C2^{-n\alpha/2}.$$

By $1/\widetilde{F_n} \leqslant 2^{n\alpha/2}$ and $\|G_n - G\|_r \leqslant C2^{-n\alpha}$, we also have

$$\Lambda_2 \leqslant \|G_n - G\|_r \cdot \|\frac{1}{\widetilde{F_n}}\|_r \leqslant C2^{-n\alpha/2}.$$

Consequently we have

$$\|\frac{1}{\widetilde{F_n}}\cdot G_n - \frac{1}{F}\cdot G\|_{p'} \leqslant C2^{-n\alpha/2}.$$

Similarly, by Meyer equivalence of norms, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\frac{1}{\widetilde{F_n}}\cdot G_n\|_{1,p'} &\leqslant C\{\|\frac{1}{\widetilde{F_n}}\cdot G_n\|_{p'} + \|D(\frac{1}{\widetilde{F_n}}\cdot G_n)\|_{p'}\} \\ &= C\{\|\frac{1}{\widetilde{F_n}}\cdot G_n\|_{p'} + \|\frac{1}{\widetilde{F_n}^2}\cdot D\widetilde{F_n}\cdot G_n\|_{p'} + \|\frac{1}{\widetilde{F_n}}\cdot DG_n\|_{p'}\} \\ &\leqslant C\{\|\frac{1}{\widetilde{F_n}}\cdot G_n\|_{p'} + \|\frac{1}{\widetilde{F_n}^2}\cdot D\widetilde{F_n}\cdot (G_n - G)\|_{p'} \\ &\quad + \|\frac{1}{\widetilde{F_n}^2}\cdot D\widetilde{F_n}\cdot G\|_{p'} + \|\frac{1}{\widetilde{F_n}}\cdot DG_n\|_{p'}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Similar to the proof of Theorem 2.7, we have

$$\|\frac{1}{\widetilde{F_n}^2}\cdot D\widetilde{F_n}\cdot G\|_{p'}\leqslant C2^{n(1-\alpha/2)}.$$

By $1/\widetilde{F_n}\leqslant 2^{n\alpha/2}$, $\|G_n-G\|_r\leqslant C2^{-n\alpha}$ and $\|D\widetilde{F_n}\|_p\leqslant C2^{n(1-\alpha/2)}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|\frac{1}{\widetilde{F_n}^2}\cdot D\widetilde{F_n}\cdot(G_n-G)\|_{p'} &\leqslant \|\frac{1}{\widetilde{F_n}}\|^2_{r'}\cdot\|D\widetilde{F_n}\|_p\cdot\|G_n-G\|_r\\ &\leqslant C2^{n(1-\alpha/2)}.\end{aligned}$$

By $1/\widetilde{F_n}\leqslant 2^{n\alpha/2}$, $\|G_n-G\|_r\leqslant C2^{-n\alpha}$ and $\|G_n\|_{1,r}\leqslant 2^{n(1-\alpha)}$, we also have

$$\|\frac{1}{\widetilde{F_n}}\cdot G_n\|_{p'}\leqslant\|\frac{1}{\widetilde{F_n}}\cdot(G_n-G)\|_{p'}+\|\frac{1}{\widetilde{F_n}}\cdot G\|_{p'}\leqslant C,\quad \|\frac{1}{\widetilde{F_n}}\cdot DG_n\|_{p'}\leqslant C2^{n(1-\alpha/2)}.$$

Consequently, we have

$$\|\frac{1}{\widetilde{F_n}}\cdot G_n\|_{1,p'}\leqslant C2^{n(1-\alpha/2)}.$$

By Remark 2.5, we obtain

$$\|\frac{1}{F}\cdot G\|_{\mathcal{E}^{p'}_\beta}<\infty,\quad \forall\beta<\alpha/2,$$

and this completes the proof. □

The following theorem is a local version of Lemma 2.1 in [20] which will play an essential role in Section 3. Before proceeding, we introduce some notions and notations concerning the Sobolev space on $\mathbb{R}^d$ (cf. [1] and [19]). Define the family of Bessel potential spaces by

$$\mathbb{L}^p_\alpha(\mathbb{R}^d)=(1-\Delta)^{-\alpha/2}(\mathbb{L}^p(\mathbb{R}^d)),\quad 1<p<\infty,\quad \alpha\in\mathbb{R}$$

equipped with the norm

$$\|g\|_{\alpha,p}=\|(1-\Delta)^{\alpha/2}g\|_p.$$

For $1<p<\infty$, $\alpha\in\mathbb{R}$, we also define fractional order Sobolev spaces on $\mathbb{R}^d$ as follows:

$$\mathcal{E}^p_\alpha(\mathbb{R}^d)=(\mathbb{L}^p_k(\mathbb{R}^d),\mathbb{L}^p_{k+1}(\mathbb{R}^d))_{\sigma,p}\quad\text{if } k+1 \text{ be the smallest integer larger than } \alpha$$

equipped with the norm

$$\|g\|_{\mathcal{E}^p_\alpha(\mathbb{R}^d)}=[\int_0^1|\epsilon^{-\sigma}K(\epsilon,p,g)|^p\frac{d\epsilon}{\epsilon}]^{1/p},$$

where $\sigma:=\alpha-k$ and

$$K(\epsilon,p,g):=\inf\{\|g_1\|_{k,p}+\epsilon\|g_2\|_{k+1,p},g_1+g_2=g,g_1,g_2\in\mathbb{L}^p_k(\mathbb{R}^d)\}.$$

Theorem 2.11. *Suppose that $F\in\mathbb{D}^{\infty-}_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $G\in\mathbb{D}^{\infty-}_1$ with*

$$1+E_G[\|D\ln G\|^p_H]<\infty,\quad \textit{for every}\quad p\geqslant 1,$$

and the density $p_{F,G}$ is bounded. Then, for every $1<p<p'<\infty$ and $0\leqslant\alpha<\alpha'\leqslant 1$, there exists a positive constant $C=C(\alpha,\alpha',p,p')$ such that

$$\|g\circ F\cdot G\|_{\mathcal{E}^p_\alpha}\leqslant C\|g\|_{\mathcal{E}^{p'}_{\alpha'}(\mathbb{R}^d)}\quad \textit{for every}\quad g\in S(\mathbb{R}^d).\qquad(19)$$

Proof. Since $p_{F,G}$ is bounded, for every $p' > p$, we can find $C = C(p,p') > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|g \circ F \cdot G\|_p &\leqslant \|g \circ F \cdot G^{1/p'}\|_{p'} \cdot \|G^{1-1/p'}\|_{pp'/(p'-p)} \\ &= [\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |g(x)|^{p'} p_{F,G}(x)dx]^{1/p'} \cdot \|G^{1-1/p'}\|_{pp'/(p'-p)} \\ &\leqslant C\|g\|_{p'}. \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

Also, by the Meyer equivalence of norms, for every $p' > p$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|g \circ F \cdot G\|_{1,p} &= \|(1-L)^{1/2}(g \circ F \cdot G)\|_p \\ &\leqslant C\{\|g \circ F \cdot G\|_p + \|D(g \circ F \cdot G)\|_p\} \\ &\leqslant C\{\|g \circ F \cdot G\|_p + \|\sum_{i=1}^d (\partial_i g) \circ F \cdot DF_i \cdot G\|_p + \|g \circ F \cdot DG\|_p\} \\ &\leqslant C\{\|g \circ F \cdot G\|_p + \|\sum_{i=1}^d (\partial_i g) \circ F \cdot DF_i \cdot G\|_p + \|g \circ F \cdot D(\ln G) \cdot G\|_p\} \\ &\leqslant C\{\|g \circ F \cdot G\|_p + \sum_{i=1}^d \|(\partial_i g) \circ F \cdot G\|_{p'} \cdot \|DF_i\|_{pp'/(p'-p)} \\ &\quad + \|g \circ F \cdot G^{1-((p'-p)/pp')}\|_{p'} \cdot \|D(\ln G) \cdot G^{(p'-p)/pp'}\|_{pp'/(p'-p)}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then similarly as (20), for every $p' > p$, we can find $C = C(p,p')$ such that

$$\|g \circ F \cdot G\|_{1,p} \leqslant C\|g\|_{1,p'}. \tag{21}$$

By (20) and (21), we get the desired estimate if $\alpha = 0$ or $\alpha = 1$. If $0 < \alpha < 1$, we proceed as follows. Let $1 < p < p' < \infty$ and $0 < \alpha < \alpha' < 1$ be fixed. For $g \in \mathcal{E}^{p'}_{\alpha'}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, by (20) and (21), we have

$$K(\epsilon, p, g \circ F \cdot G) \leqslant CK(\epsilon, p', g).$$

Hence by Hölder inequality we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \|g \circ F \cdot G\|_{\mathcal{E}^p_\alpha} &= [\int_0^1 [\epsilon^{-\alpha} K(\epsilon, p, g \circ F \cdot G)]^p \frac{d\epsilon}{\epsilon}]^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\leqslant C[\int_0^1 [\epsilon^{-\alpha} K(\epsilon, p', g)]^p \frac{d\epsilon}{\epsilon}]^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &= C[\int_0^1 [\epsilon^{-\alpha' p - \frac{p}{p'}} K(\epsilon, p', g)^p][\epsilon^{(\alpha'-\alpha)p - \frac{p'-p}{p'}}]d\epsilon]^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\leqslant C[\int_0^1 [\epsilon^{-\alpha'} K(\epsilon, p', g)]^{p'} \frac{d\epsilon}{\epsilon}]^{\frac{1}{p'}} [\int_0^1 \epsilon^{\frac{(\alpha'-\alpha)p'p}{p'-p}} \frac{d\epsilon}{\epsilon}]^{\frac{p'-p}{p'p}} \\ &\leqslant C\|g\|_{\mathcal{E}^{p'}_{\alpha'}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \end{aligned}$$

as desired. □

We shall need the following local version of the integration by parts formula.

Lemma 2.12. *Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Suppose*

(i) $F \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty-}_{k+2}(\mathbb{R}^d)$;

(ii) $G \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty-}_{k+1}$, *$A$ is a measurable set such that $G1_A = 0$ and for any $p > 1$,*

$$E[|\det(\Sigma_F)|^{-p} 1_{A^c}] < \infty.$$

Then for all $g \in C_p^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ *and all multiindex* $\alpha = \{1, \cdots, d\}^{k+1}$*, we have*

$$E[(\frac{\partial^{|\alpha|} g}{\partial y^\alpha}) \circ F \cdot G] = E[g \circ F \cdot H_\alpha(F, G)] \tag{22}$$

where the elements $H_\alpha(F, G) \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty-}_{k+1-|\alpha|}$ *are recursively given by*

$$\begin{aligned} H_{(i)}(F,G) &= H_i(F,G) \\ &:= \sum_{j=1}^d \delta(\gamma_F^{ij} \cdot G \cdot DF_j) \\ &= -\sum_{j=1}^d \{\gamma_F^{ij} \cdot LF_j \cdot G + (D\gamma_F^{ij}, DF_j)_H \cdot G + \gamma_F^{ij} \cdot (DG, DF_j)_H\}, \\ H_\alpha(F,G) &= H_{(i_1,\cdots,i_k)}(F,G) \\ &:= H_{i_k}(F, H_{(i_1,\cdots,i_{k-1})}(F,G)), \end{aligned}$$

which satisfy $H_\alpha(F, G)1_A = 0$ *and*

$$\|H_\alpha(F, G)\|_{k+1-|\alpha|,p} \leqslant C\|G\|_{k+1,p'} \tag{23}$$

for every $p < p'$*.*

Proof. Since

$$(\det(\Sigma_F))^{-1} 1_{A^c} \in \mathbb{L}^{\infty-} \quad \text{and} \quad \det(\Sigma_F) \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty-}_{k+1},$$

by Theorem 2.7, we have

$$(\det(\Sigma_F))^{-1} G \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty-}_{k+1},$$

which in turn yields that

$$\gamma_F^{ij} \cdot G \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty-}_{k+1}.$$

By the chain rule we have

$$(\partial_i g) \circ F \cdot 1_{A^c} = \sum_{j=1}^d (D(g \circ F), DF_j)_H \cdot \gamma_F^{ij} \cdot 1_{A^c},$$

so we obtain

$$(\partial_i g) \circ F \cdot G = (D(g \circ F), \sum_{j=1}^d \gamma_F^{ij} \cdot G \cdot DF_j)_H.$$

Hence by the duality relationship between the derivative and the divergence operators, we get

$$E[(\partial_i g) \circ F \cdot G] = E[g \circ F \cdot H_i(F, G)],$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} H_i(F,G) &= \sum_{j=1}^d \delta(\gamma_F^{ij} \cdot G \cdot DF_j) \\ &= -\sum_{j=1}^d \{\gamma_F^{ij} \cdot LF_j \cdot G + (D\gamma_F^{ij}, DF_j)_H \cdot G + \gamma_F^{ij} \cdot (DG, DF_j)_H\}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows by the localness of D that $H_i(F,G)1_A = 0$, and by (13) and the fact that $\delta : \mathbb{D}^p_{1+\alpha}(H) \to \mathbb{D}^p_\alpha$ is continuous for every p and every α, we see that for every $p < p'$,

$$\|H_i(F,G)\|_{k,p} \leqslant C \sum_{j=1}^d \|\gamma_F^{ij} \cdot G \cdot DF_j\|_{k+1,p} \leqslant C\|G\|_{k+1,p'}.$$

Thus we have

$$E[(\partial_{i_1}\partial_{i_2} g) \circ F \cdot G] = E[g \circ F \cdot H_{i_2}(F, H_{i_1}(F,G))].$$

Obviously we still have $H_{i_2}(F, H_{i_1}(F,G))1_A = 0$ and consequently we can go ahead further. Moreover, it is easy to see that for every $p < p' < p''$,

$$\|H_{i_2}(F, H_{i_1}(F,G))\|_{k-1,p} \leqslant C\|H_{i_1}(F,G)\|_{k,p'} \leqslant C\|G\|_{k+1,p''}.$$

By induction we prove the desired results. □

Remark 2.13. *In applications one usually takes $A = \{G = 0\}$. Note that by the localness of the derivative operator one then has $\{D^\beta G \neq 0\} \subset A^c$ for any $\beta \in \mathbb{N}$.*

Remark 2.14. *Under the conditions of Lemma 2.12, suppose that there exists $G_1 \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty-}_k$ such that $G_1 = 1$ on the set $\{G \neq 0\}$ and $G_1 1_A = 0$, then for any $G' \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty-}_{k+1}$ and $\varepsilon \geqslant 0$, we have $H_\alpha(F, G \cdot G') = H_\alpha(F, G\cdot G') \cdot G_1$,*

$$\|H_i(F, G\cdot G')\|_{k-\varepsilon,p} \leqslant C \sum_{j=1}^d \|\gamma_F^{ij} \cdot G \cdot G' \cdot DF_j\|_{k+1-\varepsilon,p} \leqslant C\|G'\|_{k+1-\varepsilon,p'} \quad \text{for every } p < p'.$$

Since $\gamma_F^{ij} \cdot G_1 \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty-}_k$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\|H_{(i_1,i_2)}(F, G\cdot G')\|_{k-1-\varepsilon,p} \\ \leqslant\ & C \sum_{j=1}^d \|\gamma_F^{i_2 j} \cdot H_{i_1}(F, G\cdot G') \cdot G_1 \cdot DF_j\|_{k-\varepsilon,p} \\ \leqslant\ & C\|H_{i_1}(F, G\cdot G')\|_{k-\varepsilon,p'} \leqslant C\|G'\|_{k+1-\varepsilon,p''} \quad \text{for every } p < p' < p''. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore by induction we have

$$\|H_\alpha(F, G\cdot G')\|_{k+1-|\alpha|-\varepsilon,p} \leqslant C\|G'\|_{k+1-\varepsilon,p'} \tag{24}$$

for every $p < p'$.

3. ESTIMATE OF THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN TWO DONSKER'S DELTA FUNCTIONS

In this section, we establish an estimate of the difference between two Donsker's delta functionals.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $\delta = k + \sigma$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $0 < \sigma \leqslant 1$. Suppose that $H, H_1, H_2 : B \to [0,1]$ and $F_1, F_2 : B \to \mathbb{R}^d$ are Wiener functionals such that*

- (i) *$F_1, F_2 \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty-}_{2+\delta}(\mathbb{R}^d)$;*
- (ii) *$H, H_1, H_2 \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty-}_{k+2}$ with*

  $$1 + E_{H_2}[\|D \ln H_2\|_H^p] < \infty \quad \text{for every} \quad p \geqslant 1,$$

  such that $H_1 = 1$ on the set $\{H \neq 0\}$ and $H_2 = 1$ on the set $\{H_1 \neq 0\}$;
- (iii) *there is a measurable set A such that $H_2 1_A = 0$ and F_1, F_2 are nondegenerate a.s. on the set A^c.*

Then for $1 < p < \infty$,

$$0 < \beta < \alpha \wedge (1+\delta) - 1 - \frac{d}{q}$$

where $q^{-1} = 1 - p^{-1}$, *and for every* p', p'', q', q'', r_1, r_2 *and* r_3 *satisfying* $1 < p < p' < p'' < \infty$, $1/p' + 1/q' = 1$, $1/p'' + 1/q'' = 1$, $r_1 > qq'/(q - q')$ *and* $r_2 > r_3 = q'q''/(q' - q'') = p'p''/(p'' - p')$, $\beta + d/q'' < \delta' < \delta$ *and* $\delta' \leqslant \alpha - 1$, *we can find a positive constant* C *which may depend on* F_1, F_2, α, β, δ, δ', p, p', p'', r_1, r_2 *and* r_3 *such that*

$$\begin{aligned} & \|(1-\Delta)^{\beta/2}\delta_x \circ F_1 \cdot H - (1-\Delta)^{\beta/2}\delta_x \circ F_2 \cdot H\|_{-\alpha,p} \\ \leqslant\ & C\|F_1 - F_2\|_{2+\delta',r_1} + C\|F_1 - F_2\|_{1,r_2} + C\|F_1 - F_2\|_{\delta',r_3}. \end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

Remark 3.2. *Since* $p_{F,G}(x) = E[1_{\{F>x\}} H_{(1,\cdots,d)}(F,G)]$, *by* (7), *if* $k \geqslant d-1$ *then the conditions (iii),* $F_1, F_2 \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty-}_{k+2}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $H_2 \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty-}_{k+1}$ *and* $H_2 1_A = 0$ *imply that*

1. *for* $i = 1, 2$, *the densities* p_{F_i,H_2} *of the laws of* F_i *under* μ_{H_2} *are bounded;*
2. *for every* $1 < p < \infty$, *the density* $p_{F_2+t(F_1-F_2),H_2\cdot\Psi_1(R_{F_1,F_2})}$ *is bounded, uniformly in* $t \in [0,1]$.

Recently, Bally and Caramellino [3] *have proved that any non-degenerated functional which is twice differentiable in Malliavin sense has a bounded density (see Proposition 2.3). Using this result, the conditions (1) and (2) are automatically satisfied under the conditions of Theorem 3.1 (see* [4, Example 2.3] *for* Ψ_1 *satisfying the condition* (5)*). This fact will play a very important role in the proof of Theorem 3.1.*

Remark 3.3. *Since* $p_{F_i,H}(x) = E_H[\boldsymbol{1} \cdot \delta_x \circ F_i]$, *applying Theorem 3.1, we obtain*

$$\|p_{F_1,H} - p_{F_2,H}\|_{C^\beta(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leqslant C\|F_1 - F_2\|_{2+\delta, r_1 \vee r_2},$$

which is more refined than [4, Proposition 2.2].

To prove the theorem we need some preparations. In what follows for the simplicity of notations sometimes we shall drop the notation of $\sum$ if there is no confusion. We begin with the following lemma which can be found in Watanabe [20].

Lemma 3.4. *If* $\alpha > d(p-1)/p = d/q$ *where* $1/p + 1/q = 1$, *then the map* $x \in \mathbb{R}^d \to (1-\Delta)^{-\alpha/2}\delta_x \in \mathbb{L}^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ *is bounded and continuous.*

The following lemma is a local version of Lemma 2.2 in [20].

Lemma 3.5. *Let* $\delta = k + \sigma$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $0 < \sigma \leqslant 1$. *Suppose that* $G : B \to \mathbb{R}$ *and* $F : B \to \mathbb{R}^d$ *be Wiener functionals such that*

- (i) $F \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty-}_{2+\delta}(\mathbb{R}^d)$;
- (ii) $G \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty-}_{k+1}$, $G_1 \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty-}_{k+1}$ *with*

  $$1 + E_{G_1}[\|D \ln G_1\|_H^p] < \infty \quad \textit{for every} \quad p \geqslant 1,$$

  such that $G_1 = 1$ *on the set* $\{G \neq 0\}$;
- (iii) *there is a measurable set* A *such that* $G_1 1_A = 0$ *and* F *is nondegenerate a.s. on the set* A^c.

Then, for every $0 < \delta' < \widetilde{\delta} \leqslant \delta$ *and* $1 < p < p' < \infty$, *we can find a positive constant* $C = C(\delta', p, p')$ *such that*

$$\|g \circ F \cdot G\|_{-\widetilde{\delta},p} \leqslant C\|g\|_{-\delta',p'} \quad \textit{for every} \quad g \in S(\mathbb{R}^d). \tag{26}$$

Proof. By Lemma 2.12, we obtain for $G' \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty-}_{k+1}$,

$$E[(\partial_{i_1}\cdots\partial_{i_m}g)\circ F\cdot G\cdot G'] = E[g\circ F\cdot H_{(i_1,\cdots,i_m)}(F, G\cdot G')].$$

Taking $\varepsilon = k+1-\widetilde{\delta}$, by Remark 2.14, we can find, for every $1 < q' < q$, $\widetilde{\delta} > 0$ and $m = 0, 1, \cdots, k+1$, a positive constant $C = C(q, q', \widetilde{\delta}, m; F, G, G_1, G')$ such that

$$\|H_{(i_1,\cdots,i_m)}(F, G\cdot G')\|_{\widetilde{\delta}-m,q'} \leqslant C\|G'\|_{\widetilde{\delta},q}.$$

Consequently, if $1/p+1/q = 1$ and $1/p'+1/q' = 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|(\partial_{i_1}\cdots\partial_{i_m}g)\circ F\cdot G\|_{-\widetilde{\delta},p}\\
=\;& \sup\{|E[(\partial_{i_1}\cdots\partial_{i_m}g)\circ F\cdot G\cdot G']| : \|G'\|_{\widetilde{\delta},q} \leqslant 1\}\\
\leqslant\;& \sup\{|E[g\circ F\cdot H_{(i_1,\cdots,i_m)}(F, G\cdot G')]| : \|H_{(i_1,\cdots,i_m)}(F, G\cdot G')\|_{\widetilde{\delta}-m,q'} \leqslant C\}\\
=\;& \sup\{|E[g\circ F\cdot H_{(i_1,\cdots,i_m)}(F, G\cdot G')\cdot G_1]| : \|H_{(i_1,\cdots,i_m)}(F, G\cdot G')\|_{\widetilde{\delta}-m,q'} \leqslant C\}\\
\leqslant\;& C\|g\circ F\cdot G_1\|_{m-\widetilde{\delta},p'} \quad \text{for} \quad m = 0, \cdots, k+1. \qquad (27)
\end{aligned}$$

The rest of the proof is the same as that of [20] and we give it for reader's convenience. If $k = 2l-1$ is odd, any $g \in S(\mathbb{R}^d)$ can be written as

$$g = \sum_{n=0}^{l}\Sigma' \pm \partial_{i_1}\cdots\partial_{i_{2n}}(1-\Delta)^{-l}g,$$

where Σ' is a certain sum over indices $(i_1, \cdots, i_{2n})$. Hence, by (27), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|g\circ F\cdot G\|_{-\widetilde{\delta},p}\\
\leqslant\;& C\sum_{n=0}^{l}\|(1-\Delta)^{-l}g\circ F\cdot G_1\|_{2n-\widetilde{\delta},p'}\\
\leqslant\;& C\|(1-\Delta)^{-(k+1)/2}g\circ F\cdot G_1\|_{k+1-\widetilde{\delta},p'}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$0 \leqslant 1-\sigma = k+1-\widetilde{\delta} < 1,$$

using Remark 3.2 (since p_{F,G_1} is bounded) and Theorem 2.11 we have for every $0 < \delta' < \widetilde{\delta}$ and $p'' > p'$ with $k+1-\delta' < 1$,

$$\|g\circ F\cdot G\|_{-\widetilde{\delta},p} \leqslant C\|(1-\Delta)^{-(k+1)/2}g\|_{k+1-\delta',p''} = C\|g\|_{-\delta',p''}.$$

This yields the desired estimate, since δ' and p'' can be chosen arbitrarily close to $\widetilde{\delta}$ and p. Similar arguments applies for even k and we refer to [20, Lemma 2.2] for details. The proof is completed. □

We also need the following technical lemma.

Lemma 3.6. *Let $H, H_1 \in B \to [0,1]$ and $F_1, F_2 \in B \to \mathbb{R}^d$ satisfy all the conditions (i), (ii) and (iii) of Theorem 3.1. Then, for every δ', r, r', r'' satisfying $0 < \delta' < \delta$, $r' > r > 1$ and $r'' > r'r/(r'-r)$, we can find a positive constant $C = C(F_1, F_2, \delta', r, r', r'')$ such that*

$$\|H_i(F_1, H\cdot G) - H_i(F_2, H\cdot G)\|_{\delta',r} \leqslant C\|G\|_{1+\delta',r'}\cdot\|F_1-F_2\|_{2+\delta',r''}, \qquad (28)$$

where $G \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty-}_{1+\delta}$.

Proof. In what follows $r, m, n, m', m'^c, r', r'^c, r'', r''^c, r''^{cc}$ satisfy

$$\frac{1}{m}+\frac{1}{n}=\frac{1}{r}, \frac{1}{m'}+\frac{1}{m'^c}=\frac{1}{m}, \frac{1}{r'}+\frac{1}{r'^c}=\frac{1}{n}, \frac{1}{r''^c}+\frac{1}{r''^{cc}}=\frac{1}{m'^c}, m'<r''.$$

Since the Malliavin covariance matrix Σ_{F_1} satisfies that $\sigma^{ij}_{F_1}\in\mathbb{D}^{\infty-}_{1+\delta}$, and the space $\mathbb{D}^{\infty-}_{1+\delta}$ is an algebra, so $\det(\Sigma_{F_1})\in\mathbb{D}^{\infty-}_{1+\delta}$, then by Theorem 2.7, we have

$$(\det(\Sigma_{F_1}))^{-1}\cdot H\in\mathbb{D}^{\infty-}_{(1+\delta)-},$$

and we deduce easily from this that $\gamma^{ij}_{F_1}\cdot H\in\mathbb{D}^{\infty-}_{(1+\delta)-}$. Since

$$H_i(F_1,H\cdot G)=\sum_{j=1}^{d}\delta(\gamma^{ij}_{F_1}\cdot H\cdot G\cdot DF_1^j)$$

and

$$H_i(F_2,H\cdot G)=\sum_{j=1}^{d}\delta(\gamma^{ij}_{F_2}\cdot H\cdot G\cdot DF_2^j),$$

using the fact that $\delta:\mathbb{D}^p_{1+\alpha}(H)\to\mathbb{D}^p_\alpha$ is continuous for every p and α, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|H_i(F_1,H\cdot G)-H_i(F_2,H\cdot G)\|_{\delta',r} &\leqslant C\|\gamma^{ij}_{F_1}\cdot H\cdot G\cdot DF_1^j-\gamma^{ij}_{F_2}\cdot H\cdot G\cdot DF_2^j\|_{1+\delta',r}\\ &\leqslant C\|\gamma^{ij}_{F_1}\cdot H\cdot G\cdot DF_1^j-\gamma^{ij}_{F_2}\cdot H\cdot G\cdot DF_1^j\|_{1+\delta',r}\\ &\quad +C\|\gamma^{ij}_{F_2}\cdot H\cdot G\cdot DF_1^j-\gamma^{ij}_{F_2}\cdot H\cdot G\cdot DF_2^j\|_{1+\delta',r}\\ &=: \Lambda_1+\Lambda_2.\end{aligned}$$

We first observe that

$$(\Sigma_{F_2})^{-1}-(\Sigma_{F_1})^{-1}=(\Sigma_{F_1})^{-1}(\Sigma_{F_1}-\Sigma_{F_2})(\Sigma_{F_2})^{-1}.$$

Therefore we have

$$\gamma^{ij}_{F_2}-\gamma^{ij}_{F_1}=\sum_{k,l=1}^{d}\gamma^{ik}_{F_1}(\sigma^{kl}_{F_1}-\sigma^{kl}_{F_2})\gamma^{lj}_{F_2}.$$

In view of $\gamma^{ij}_{F_1}\cdot H\in\mathbb{D}^{\infty-}_{(1+\delta)-}$, $\gamma^{ij}_{F_2}\cdot H_1\in\mathbb{D}^{\infty-}_{(1+\delta)-}$, $F_1\in\mathbb{D}^{\infty-}_{2+\delta}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $F_2\in\mathbb{D}^{\infty-}_{2+\delta}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\Lambda_1 &\leqslant C\|G\cdot DF_1^j\|_{1+\delta',n}\cdot\|\gamma^{ij}_{F_2}\cdot H-\gamma^{ij}_{F_1}\cdot H\|_{1+\delta',m}\\ &\leqslant C\|G\|_{1+\delta',r'}\cdot\|DF_1^j\|_{1+\delta',r'^c}\cdot\|\sigma^{kl}_{F_1}-\sigma^{kl}_{F_2}\|_{1+\delta',m'}\cdot\|\gamma^{ik}_{F_1}\cdot H\|_{1+\delta',r''^c}\cdot\|\gamma^{lj}_{F_2}\cdot H_1\|_{1+\delta',r''^{cc}}\\ &\leqslant C\|G\|_{1+\delta',r'}\cdot\|\sigma^{kl}_{F_1}-\sigma^{kl}_{F_2}\|_{1+\delta',m'}\\ &\leqslant C\|G\|_{1+\delta',r'}\cdot\|F_1-F_2\|_{2+\delta',r''}.\end{aligned}\tag{29}$$

For the second term, in view of $\gamma^{ij}_{F_2}\cdot H\in\mathbb{D}^{\infty-}_{(1+\delta)-}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\Lambda_2 &\leqslant C\|\gamma^{ij}_{F_2}\cdot H\cdot G\|_{1+\delta',n}\cdot\|DF_1^j-DF_2^j\|_{1+\delta',m}\\ &\leqslant C\|\gamma^{ij}_{F_2}\cdot H\|_{1+\delta',r'^c}\cdot\|G\|_{1+\delta',r'}\cdot\|DF_1^j-DF_2^j\|_{1+\delta',m}\\ &\leqslant C\|G\|_{1+\delta',r'}\cdot\|F_1-F_2\|_{2+\delta',m}.\end{aligned}\tag{30}$$

Hence combining (29) and (30), we have

$$\|H_i(F_1,H\cdot G)-H_i(F_2,H\cdot G)\|_{\delta',r}\leqslant C\|G\|_{1+\delta',r'}\cdot\|F_1-F_2\|_{2+\delta',r''}.$$

Thus the proof is completed. □

With the above preparation we can establish the following results which will play a crucial role in the proof of Theorem 3.1.

Lemma 3.7. *Let $H, H_1, H_2 \in B \to [0,1]$ and $F_1, F_2 \in B \to \mathbb{R}^d$ satisfy all the conditions (i), (ii) and (iii) of Theorem 3.1. Then, for every $0 < \delta'' < \delta' < \delta$ and p, q, p', q', p'', q'', p''', r_1, r_2 satisfying $1 < p < p' < p'' < p''' < \infty$, $1/p + 1/q = 1$, $1/p' + 1/q' = 1$, $1/p'' + 1/q'' = 1$, $r_1 > qq'/(q - q')$ and $r_2 > r_3 = q'q''/(q' - q'')$, we can find a positive constant C such that*

$$\begin{aligned} & \|g \circ F_1 \cdot H - g \circ F_2 \cdot H\|_{-(1+\delta'),p} \\ \leqslant \; & C\|g\|_{-(1+\delta''),p''} \cdot \|F_1 - F_2\|_{2+\delta',r_1} + C\|g\|_{-\delta',p'''} \cdot \|F_1 - F_2\|_{1,r_2} \\ & + C\|g\|_{-\delta'',p'''} \cdot \|F_1 - F_2\|_{\delta',r_3} \end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

for every $g \in S(\mathbb{R}^d)$. The positive constant C may depend on F_1, F_2, δ', δ'', p, p', p'', p''', r_1, r_2.

Proof. First using the integration by parts formula, we have for $G \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty-}_{1+\delta}$,

$$\begin{aligned} & |E[(\partial_i g) \circ F_1 \cdot H \cdot G - (\partial_i g) \circ F_2 \cdot H \cdot G]| \\ = \; & |E[g \circ F_1 \cdot H_1 \cdot H_i(F_1, H \cdot G) - g \circ F_2 \cdot H_1 \cdot H_i(F_2, H \cdot G)]| \\ \leqslant \; & |E[g \circ F_1 \cdot H_1 \cdot H_i(F_1, H \cdot G) - g \circ F_2 \cdot H_1 \cdot H_i(F_1, H \cdot G)]| \\ & + |E[g \circ F_2 \cdot H_1 \cdot H_i(F_1, H \cdot G) - g \circ F_2 \cdot H_1 \cdot H_i(F_2, H \cdot G)]| \\ \leqslant \; & \|g \circ F_1 \cdot H_1 - g \circ F_2 \cdot H_1\|_{-\delta',p'} \cdot \|H_i(F_1, H \cdot G)\|_{\delta',q'} \\ & + \|g \circ F_2 \cdot H_1\|_{-\delta',p'} \cdot \|H_i(F_1, H \cdot G) - H_i(F_2, H \cdot G)\|_{\delta',q'}. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$H_i(F_1, H \cdot G) = \sum_{j=1}^{d} \delta(\gamma^{ij}_{F_1} \cdot H \cdot G \cdot DF_1^j),$$

by $\gamma^{ij}_{F_1} \cdot H \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty-}_{(1+\delta)-}$ and $\delta : \mathbb{D}^p_{1+\alpha}(H) \to \mathbb{D}^p_\alpha$ is continuous for every p and every α, the map $G \to H_i(F_1, H \cdot G)$ can be extended to a continuous operator $\mathbb{D}^q_{(1+\delta)-} \to \mathbb{D}^{q-}_{\delta-} := \bigcap_{q'<q} \mathbb{D}^{q'}_{\delta-}$ for every q and every δ, that is, we can find, for every $1 < q' < q$, $0 < \delta' < \delta$, a positive constant $C = C(q, q', \delta'; F_1)$ such that

$$\|H_i(F_1, H \cdot G)\|_{\delta',q'} \leqslant C\|G\|_{1+\delta',q}.$$

Then by Lemma 3.6, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|(\partial_i g) \circ F_1 \cdot H - (\partial_i g) \circ F_2 \cdot H\|_{-(1+\delta'),p} \\ = \; & \sup\{|E[(\partial_i g) \circ F_1 \cdot H \cdot G - (\partial_i g) \circ F_2 \cdot H \cdot G]| : \|G\|_{1+\delta',q} \leqslant 1\} \\ \leqslant \; & \sup\{\|g \circ F_1 \cdot H_1 - g \circ F_2 \cdot H_1\|_{-\delta',p'} \cdot \|H_i(F_1, H \cdot G)\|_{\delta',q'} : \|H_i(F_1, H \cdot G)\|_{\delta',q'} \leqslant C\} \\ & \quad + \sup\{\|g \circ F_2 \cdot H_1\|_{-\delta',p'} \cdot \|H_i(F_1, H \cdot G) - H_i(F_2, H \cdot G)\|_{\delta',q'} : \|G\|_{1+\delta',q} \leqslant 1\} \\ \leqslant \; & C\|g \circ F_1 \cdot H_1 - g \circ F_2 \cdot H_1\|_{-\delta',p'} + C\|g \circ F_2 \cdot H_1\|_{-\delta',p'} \cdot \|F_1 - F_2\|_{2+\delta',r_1}. \end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

Since any $g \in S(\mathbb{R}^d)$ can be written in the form

$$g = \sum_{n=0}^{1} \Sigma' \pm \partial_{i_1} \cdots \partial_{i_{2n}} (1 - \Delta)^{-1} g,$$

where Σ' is a certain sum over indices $(i_1, \cdots, i_{2n})$, by (32), we have

$$\|g \circ F_1 \cdot H - g \circ F_2 \cdot H\|_{-(1+\delta'),p}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= \Big\| \sum_{n=0}^{1} \Sigma' \pm \partial_{i_1}\cdots\partial_{i_{2n}}(1-\Delta)^{-1} g\circ F_1 \cdot H \\
&\quad - \sum_{n=0}^{1} \Sigma' \pm \partial_{i_1}\cdots\partial_{i_{2n}}(1-\Delta)^{-1} g\circ F_2 \cdot H \Big\|_{-(1+\delta'),p} \\
&\leqslant C\Sigma' \|\partial_{i_2}(1-\Delta)^{-1} g\circ F_1\cdot H_1 - \partial_{i_2}(1-\Delta)^{-1} g\circ F_2\cdot H_1\|_{-\delta',p'} \\
&\quad + C\Sigma' \|\partial_{i_2}(1-\Delta)^{-1} g\circ F_2\cdot H_1\|_{-\delta',p'}\cdot \|F_1-F_2\|_{2+\delta',r_1} \\
&=: \Xi_1+\Xi_2.
\end{aligned}
$$

By Lemma 3.5 and the $\mathbb{L}^p_\alpha(\mathbb{R}^d)$ boundedness of $\partial_{i_2}(1-\Delta)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$, we have

$$
\|\partial_{i_2}(1-\Delta)^{-1} g\circ F_2\cdot H_1\|_{-\delta',p'} \leqslant C\|\partial_{i_2}(1-\Delta)^{-1} g\|_{-\delta'',p''} \leqslant C\|g\|_{-(1+\delta''),p''}.
$$

Then we obtain

$$
\Xi_2 \leqslant C\|g\|_{-(1+\delta''),p''}\cdot \|F_1-F_2\|_{2+\delta',r_1}. \tag{33}
$$

Now we deal with the first term Ξ_1. We split Ξ_1 into two parts

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\quad \|\partial_{i_2}(1-\Delta)^{-1} g\circ F_1\cdot H_1 - \partial_{i_2}(1-\Delta)^{-1} g\circ F_2\cdot H_1\|_{-\delta',p'} \\
&= \sup\{|E[\partial_{i_2}(1-\Delta)^{-1} g\circ F_1\cdot H_1\cdot G - \partial_{i_2}(1-\Delta)^{-1} g\circ F_2\cdot H_1\cdot G]| : \|G\|_{\delta',q'}\leqslant 1\} \\
&\leqslant \sup\{|E[(\partial_{i_2}(1-\Delta)^{-1} g\circ F_1\cdot H_1\cdot G - \partial_{i_2}(1-\Delta)^{-1} g\circ F_2\cdot H_1\cdot G)\cdot(1-\Psi(R_{F_1,F_2}))]| \\
&\quad : \|G\|_{\delta',q'}\leqslant 1\} \\
&\quad + \sup\{|E[(\partial_{i_2}(1-\Delta)^{-1} g\circ F_1\cdot H_1\cdot G - \partial_{i_2}(1-\Delta)^{-1} g\circ F_2\cdot H_1\cdot G)\cdot\Psi(R_{F_1,F_2})]| \\
&\quad : \|G\|_{\delta',q'}\leqslant 1\} \\
&=: \Xi_3+\Xi_4,
\end{aligned}
$$

where Ψ and R_{F_1,F_2} are defined in Section 2. First we consider the term Ξ_3. In view of $(\det(\Sigma_{F_1}))^{-1}\cdot 1_{\{H_2\neq 0\}}\in\mathbb{L}^{\infty-}$, $F_1\in\mathbb{D}^{\infty-}_{2+\delta}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\quad P(\{\Psi(R_{F_1,F_2})\neq 1\}\cap\{H_2\neq 0\}) \\
&\leqslant P(\{((\det(\Sigma_{F_1}))^{-1})^{1/2}(1+\|\Sigma_{F_1}\|_2^2)^{(d-1)/4}\|D(F_1-F_2)\|_H > \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}\}\cap\{H_2\neq 0\}) \\
&\leqslant (2\sqrt{2})^{r_3'} E[(((\det(\Sigma_{F_1}))^{-1})^{1/2}(1+\|\Sigma_{F_1}\|_2^2)^{(d-1)/4})^{r_3'}\|D(F_1-F_2)\|_H^{r_3'}\cdot 1_{\{H_2\neq 0\}}] \\
&\leqslant CE[\|DF_1-DF_2\|_H^{r_2}]^{r_3'/r_2},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $r_3<r_3'<r_2$. Then by Remark 2.13, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\quad |E[(\partial_{i_2}(1-\Delta)^{-1} g\circ F_1\cdot H_1\cdot G - \partial_{i_2}(1-\Delta)^{-1} g\circ F_2\cdot H_1\cdot G)\cdot(1-\Psi(R_{F_1,F_2}))]| \\
&\leqslant |E[\partial_{i_2}(1-\Delta)^{-1} g\circ F_1\cdot H_1\cdot H_2\cdot G\cdot(1-\Psi(R_{F_1,F_2}))]| \\
&\quad + |E[\partial_{i_2}(1-\Delta)^{-1} g\circ F_2\cdot H_1\cdot H_2\cdot G\cdot(1-\Psi(R_{F_1,F_2}))]| \\
&\leqslant C\|\partial_{i_2}(1-\Delta)^{-1} g\circ F_1\cdot H_1\|_{-k',p''}\cdot\|H_2\cdot G\cdot(1-\Psi(R_{F_1,F_2}))\|_{k',q''} \\
&\quad + C\|\partial_{i_2}(1-\Delta)^{-1} g\circ F_2\cdot H_1\|_{-k',p''}\cdot\|H_2\cdot G\cdot(1-\Psi(R_{F_1,F_2}))\|_{k',q''} \\
&\leqslant C\|\partial_{i_2}(1-\Delta)^{-1} g\circ F_1\cdot H_1\|_{-k',p''}\cdot\|G\|_{k',q'}\cdot\|H_2\cdot(1-\Psi(R_{F_1,F_2}))\|_{k',r_3} \\
&\quad + C\|\partial_{i_2}(1-\Delta)^{-1} g\circ F_2\cdot H_1\|_{-k',p''}\cdot\|G\|_{k',q'}\cdot\|H_2\cdot(1-\Psi(R_{F_1,F_2}))\|_{k',r_3} \\
&\leqslant C\|\partial_{i_2}(1-\Delta)^{-1} g\circ F_1\cdot H_1\|_{-k',p''}\cdot\|G\|_{k',q'}\cdot P(\{\Psi(R_{F_1,F_2})\neq 1\}\cap\{H_2\neq 0\})^{1/r_3'} \\
&\quad + C\|\partial_{i_2}(1-\Delta)^{-1} g\circ F_2\cdot H_1\|_{-k',p''}\cdot\|G\|_{k',q'}\cdot P(\{\Psi(R_{F_1,F_2})\neq 1\}\cap\{H_2\neq 0\})^{1/r_3'} \\
&\leqslant C\|\partial_{i_2}(1-\Delta)^{-1} g\circ F_1\cdot H_1\|_{-k',p''}\cdot\|G\|_{k',q'}\cdot\|F_1-F_2\|_{1,r_2}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$+ C\|\partial_{i_2}(1-\Delta)^{-1}g\circ F_2\cdot H_1\|_{-k',p''}\cdot\|G\|_{k',q'}\cdot\|F_1-F_2\|_{1,r_2},$$

where $k' < \delta' \leqslant k'+1$. By Lemma 3.5 and Remark 3.2, it is obvious that

$$\|\partial_{i_2}(1-\Delta)^{-1}g\circ F_i\cdot H_1\|_{-k',p''}\leqslant C\|\partial_{i_2}(1-\Delta)^{-1}g\|_{-k',p'''}\leqslant C\|g\|_{-(k'+1),p'''}.$$

Consequently

$$\Xi_3\leqslant C\|g\|_{-(k'+1),p'''}\cdot\|F_1-F_2\|_{1,r_2}\leqslant C\|g\|_{-\delta',p'''}\cdot\|F_1-F_2\|_{1,r_2}. \tag{34}$$

Next we consider the second term Ξ_4. First by (7), we have

$$\{\Psi_1(R_{F_1,F_2})\neq 0\}\subset\{\det(\Sigma_{(F_2+t(F_1-F_2))})\geqslant(1-\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2})^{2d}\frac{(\det(\Sigma_{F_1}))^d}{\|\Sigma_{F_1}\|^{d(d-1)}}\}\quad\text{a.s..}$$

Then by $(\det(\Sigma_{F_1}))^{-1}\cdot 1_{\{H_2\neq 0\}}\in\mathbb{L}^{\infty-}$, $F_1\in\mathbb{D}^{\infty-}_{2+\delta}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, we obtain that $F_2+t(F_1-F_2)$ is nondegenerate a.s. on the set $\{H_2\neq 0\}\cap\{\Psi_1(R_{F_1,F_2})\neq 0\}$, uniformly in $t\in[0,1]$. Besides, by Remark 2.8 it is obvious that $F_2+t(F_1-F_2)\in\mathbb{D}^{\infty-}_{2+\delta}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $\Psi(R_{F_1,F_2})\cdot H_1\in\mathbb{D}^{\infty-}_{(1+\delta)-}$ and $\Psi_1(R_{F_1,F_2})\cdot H_2\in\mathbb{D}^{\infty-}_{(1+\delta)-}$, and hence $F_2+t(F_1-F_2)\in\mathbb{D}^{\infty-}_{2+\delta'}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $\Psi(R_{F_1,F_2})\cdot H_1\in\mathbb{D}^{\infty-}_{k'+1}$ and $\Psi_1(R_{F_1,F_2})\cdot H_2\in\mathbb{D}^{\infty-}_{k'+1}$. Therefore, $F_2+t(F_1-F_2)$, $\Psi(R_{F_1,F_2})\cdot H_1$ and $\Psi_1(R_{F_1,F_2})\cdot H_2$ satisfy all the conditions in Lemma 3.5 by condition (5). Consequently, applying mean value theorem, Remark 3.2 (2) and Lemma 3.5, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Xi_4\\
=\ &\|\partial_{i_2}(1-\Delta)^{-1}g\circ F_1\cdot H_1\cdot\Psi(R_{F_1,F_2})-\partial_{i_2}(1-\Delta)^{-1}g\circ F_2\cdot H_1\cdot\Psi(R_{F_1,F_2})\|_{-\delta',p'}\\
\leqslant\ &\int_0^1\|\partial_i\partial_{i_2}(1-\Delta)^{-1}g\circ(F_2+t(F_1-F_2))\cdot(F_1^i-F_2^i)\cdot H_1\cdot\Psi(R_{F_1,F_2})\|_{-\delta',p'}dt\\
\leqslant\ &C\int_0^1\|\partial_i\partial_{i_2}(1-\Delta)^{-1}g\circ(F_2+t(F_1-F_2))\cdot H_1\cdot\Psi(R_{F_1,F_2})\|_{-\delta',p''}\cdot\|F_1^i-F_2^i\|_{\delta',r_3}dt\\
\leqslant\ &C\|\partial_i\partial_{i_2}(1-\Delta)^{-1}g\|_{-\delta'',p'''}\cdot\|F_1-F_2\|_{\delta',r_3},
\end{aligned}\tag{35}$$

and, noting the $\mathbb{L}^p_\alpha(\mathbb{R}^d)$ boundedness of $\partial_{i_1}\partial_{i_2}(1-\Delta)^{-1}$, this is further dominated by $C\|g\|_{-\delta'',p'''}\cdot\|F_1-F_2\|_{\delta',r_3}$. Thus combining (33), (34) and (35), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|g\circ F_1\cdot H-g\circ F_2\cdot H\|_{-(1+\delta'),p}\\
\leqslant\ &C_1\|g\|_{-(1+\delta''),p''}\cdot\|F_1-F_2\|_{2+\delta',r_1}+C_2\|g\|_{-\delta',p'''}\cdot\|F_1-F_2\|_{1,r_2}\\
&+C_3\|g\|_{-\delta'',p'''}\cdot\|F_1-F_2\|_{\delta',r_3}.
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of the lemma. □

Remark 3.8. *In the proof of Lemma 3.7, the reason why we make use of the integration by parts formula rather than a direct use of the mean value theorem, is to ensure that $\Psi(R_{F_1,F_2})\cdot H_1$ satisfies the condition (ii) of Lemma 3.5.*

Proof of Theorem 3.1. Since $0<\beta<\alpha\wedge(1+\delta)-1-d/q$, we can choose $1<q'''<q''$ and $0<\delta''<\delta'<\delta$ such that $\delta''-\beta>d/q'''$. Hence by Lemma 3.4, we have

$$x\to(1-\Delta)^{\beta/2}\delta_x\in\mathbb{L}^{p'''}_{-\delta''}(\mathbb{R}^d) \tag{36}$$

is bounded and continuous, where $1/p'''+1/q'''=1$. Hence by Lemma 3.7 and (36), we can find $C>0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|(1-\Delta)^{\beta/2}\delta_x\circ F_1\cdot H-(1-\Delta)^{\beta/2}\delta_x\circ F_2\cdot H\|_{-\alpha,p}\\
\leqslant\ &\|(1-\Delta)^{\beta/2}\delta_x\circ F_1\cdot H-(1-\Delta)^{\beta/2}\delta_x\circ F_2\cdot H\|_{-(1+\delta'),p}\\
\leqslant\ &C\|(1-\Delta)^{\beta/2}\delta_x\|_{-(1+\delta''),p''}\cdot\|F_1-F_2\|_{2+\delta',r_1}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &+C\|(1-\Delta)^{\beta/2}\delta_x\|_{-\delta',p'''}\cdot\|F_1-F_2\|_{1,r_2} \\ &\quad +C\|(1-\Delta)^{\beta/2}\delta_x\|_{-\delta'',p'''}\cdot\|F_1-F_2\|_{\delta',r_3} \\ \leqslant\ & C\|F_1-F_2\|_{2+\delta',r_1}+C\|F_1-F_2\|_{1,r_2}+C\|F_1-F_2\|_{\delta',r_3}. \end{aligned}$$

The proof is thus completed. □

Similar to the proof of Theorem 3.1, by Theorem 2.10, we have the following theorem which shows that the condition $H, H_1, H_2 \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty-}_{k+2}$ can be improved to $H, H_1, H_2 \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty-}_{(1+\delta)-}$.

Theorem 3.9. *Let $\delta = k+\sigma$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $0<\sigma\leqslant 1$. Suppose that $H, H_1, H_2 : B \to [0,1]$ and $F_1, F_2 : B \to \mathbb{R}^d$ are Wiener functionals such that*

- (i) *$F_1, F_2 \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty-}_{2+\delta}(\mathbb{R}^d)$;*
- (ii) *$H, H_1, H_2 \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty-}_{(1+\delta)-}$ with*

$$1+E_{H_2}[\|D\ln H_2\|^p_H]<\infty \quad \textit{for every} \quad p\geqslant 1,$$

such that $H_1 = 1$ on the set $\{H \neq 0\}$ and $H_2 = 1$ on the set $\{H_1 \neq 0\}$;

- (iii) *there is a measurable set A such that $H_2 1_A = 0$ and F_1, F_2 are nondegenerate a.s. on the set A^c.*

Then for $1<p<\infty$,

$$0<\beta<\alpha\wedge(1+k+\frac{\sigma}{2^{k+2}})-1-\frac{d}{q}, \quad \frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q}=1,$$

and for every p', p'', q', q'', r_1, r_2 and r_3 satisfying $1<p<p'<p''<\infty$, $1/p'+1/q'=1$, $1/p''+1/q''=1$, $r_1>qq'/(q-q')$ and $r_2>r_3=q'q''/(q'-q'')=p'p''/(p''-p')$, $\beta+d/q''<\delta'<k+\sigma/2^{k+2}<\delta$ and $\delta'\leqslant\alpha-1$, we can find a positive constant C which may depend on F_1, F_2, α, β, δ, δ', p, p', p'', r_1, r_2 and r_3 such that

$$\begin{aligned} &\|(1-\Delta)^{\beta/2}\delta_x\circ F_1\cdot H-(1-\Delta)^{\beta/2}\delta_x\circ F_2\cdot H\|_{-\alpha,p} \\ \leqslant\ & C\|F_1-F_2\|_{2+\delta',r_1}+C\|F_1-F_2\|_{1,r_2}+C\|F_1-F_2\|_{\delta',r_3}. \end{aligned} \tag{37}$$

4. CONVERGENCE RATE OF DENSITY OF THE EULER SCHEME FOR NON-MARKOVIAN STOCHASTIC DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS: APPLICATIONS OF THEOREM 3.1

In this section we study the convergence rate of density of the Euler scheme for non-Markovian stochastic differential equations. Before proceeding, we introduce some notations and notions. Given compact metric spaces $M_1, \cdots, M_d$ and real separable Hilbert spaces $E_1, \cdots, E_d$ and E, let $k\geqslant 1$ be an integer and $C^k_\uparrow(C(M_1;E_1)\otimes\cdots\otimes C(M_d;E_d);E)$ be the class of continuous $F : C(M_1;E_1)\otimes\cdots\otimes C(M_d;E_d)\to E$ such that for any multi-index $\alpha=(\alpha_1,\cdots,\alpha_d)$ with $1\leqslant|\alpha|=\alpha_1+\cdots+\alpha_d\leqslant k$ and any $g^i_j\in C(M_i;E_i)$, $1\leqslant i\leqslant d$, $0\leqslant j\leqslant\alpha_i$, the map

$$(x^1_1,\cdots,x^1_{\alpha_1},\cdots,x^d_1,\cdots,x^d_{\alpha_d})\in\mathbb{R}^{|\alpha|}\mapsto F\begin{pmatrix} g^1_0+\sum_{j=1}^{\alpha_1}x^1_j g^1_j \\ \vdots \\ g^d_0+\sum_{j=1}^{\alpha_d}x^d_j g^d_j \end{pmatrix} \text{ is } C^k;$$

there is a continuous

$$F^{(\alpha)} : C(M_1;E_1)\otimes\cdots\otimes C(M_d;E_d)\to Hom(C(M^\alpha;E^{\otimes\alpha});E)$$

for which

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\frac{\partial^{\alpha}}{\partial x_1^1 \cdots \partial x_{\alpha_1}^1 \cdots \partial x_1^d \cdots \partial x_{\alpha_d}^d} F \begin{pmatrix} g_0^1 + \sum_{j=1}^{\alpha_1} x_j^1 g_j^1 \\ \vdots \\ g_0^d + \sum_{j=1}^{\alpha_d} x_j^d g_j^d \end{pmatrix} |_{x_1^1 = \cdots = x_{\alpha_d}^d = 0} \\
= \quad & F^{(\alpha)} \begin{pmatrix} g_0^1 \\ \vdots \\ g_0^d \end{pmatrix} (g_1^1(*_{1,1}) \otimes \cdots \otimes g_{\alpha_1}^1(*_{1,\alpha_1}) \otimes \cdots \otimes g_1^d(*_{d,1}) \otimes \cdots \otimes g_{\alpha_d}^d(*_{d,\alpha_d})); \quad (38)
\end{aligned}
$$

and, for any $0 \leqslant |\alpha| \leqslant k$, there exist $C_\alpha < \infty$ and $\lambda_\alpha < \infty$ such that

$$
\|F^{(\alpha)} \begin{pmatrix} g^1 \\ \vdots \\ g^d \end{pmatrix} \|_{Hom(C(M^\alpha; E^{\otimes \alpha}); E)} \leqslant C_\alpha (1 + \sum_{i=0}^{d} \|g^i\|_{C(M_i; E_i)})^{\lambda_\alpha}
$$

for $g^i \in C(M_i; E_i)$, $i = 1, \cdots, d$.

For any $p > 1$, let $\mathcal{G}_0^p$ be the class of continuous progressively measurable functions: $\xi : [0,T] \times B \to E$ such that

$$
\sup_{0 \leqslant t \leqslant T} \|\xi(t)\|_E \in \mathbb{L}^p.
$$

For every integer $k \geqslant 1$ and any $p > 1$, let $\mathcal{G}_k^p$ be the class of $\xi \in \mathcal{G}_0^p$ such that $\xi(t) \in \mathbb{D}_j^p(E)$ for each $t \in [0,T]$ and $j = 1, \cdots, k$, furnished with the norm

$$
\|\xi\|_{k,p,T;E} := \sum_{0 \leqslant j \leqslant k} \| \sup_{0 \leqslant t \leqslant T} \|D^j \xi(t)\|_{H^{\otimes j} \otimes E} \|_{\mathbb{L}^p} < \infty. \tag{39}
$$

Finally, we define $\mathcal{G}_\infty^{\infty-}$ by

$$
\mathcal{G}_\infty^{\infty-} = \bigcap_{k \geqslant 0} \bigcap_{1 < p < \infty} \mathcal{G}_k^p.
$$

Now we introduce the Euler scheme for SDE (2). Let $T > 0$ be a fixed time horizon, and let T/n be the discretization step for every integer $n > 0$. Set $X_n(0) = x$ and for $kT/n < t \leqslant (k+1)T/n$, $X_n(t)$ is inductively defined by

$$
X_n(t) = X_n(\frac{kT}{n}) + \int_{\frac{kT}{n}}^{t} b(\frac{kT}{n}, X_n(\cdot \wedge \frac{kT}{n}))ds + \int_{\frac{kT}{n}}^{t} \sigma(\frac{kT}{n}, X_n(\cdot \wedge \frac{kT}{n}))dW(s). \tag{40}
$$

The following definition is taken from [14].

Definition 4.1. *Let $2k \geqslant 3$ be an integer and E_1 and E_2 be real separable Hilbert spaces. We say that a function $F : [0,T] \times C([0,T]; E_1) \to E_2$ satisfies (A_{2k,E_1,E_2}) if*

(i) F is measurable, and for each $t \in [0,T]$, there is an $F(t) \in C_\uparrow^{2k}(C([0,t]; E_1); E_2)$ such that $F(t,\psi) = F(t)(\psi|_{[0,t]})$ for all $\psi \in C([0,T]; E_1)$;

(ii) for each integer $0 \leqslant n \leqslant 2k$, there exist $C_n < \infty$ and γ_n, where $\gamma_n = 1$ for $n = 0$ and $\gamma_n = 0$ for $n \geqslant 1$, such that

$$
\|F(t)^{(n)}(\psi)\|_{Hom(C([0,t]^n; E_1^{\otimes n}); E_2)} \leqslant C_n (1 + \|\psi\|_{C([0,t]; E_1)})^{\gamma_n} \tag{41}
$$

for all $t \in [0,T]$ and $\psi \in C([0,t]; E_1)$;

(iii) for each integer $0 \leqslant n \leqslant 2k$, there exists $C_n < \infty$ such that

$$
\|F(t)^{(n)}(\psi)(g|_{[0,t]^n}) - F(s)^{(n)}(\psi)(g|_{[0,s]^n})\|_{E_2} \leqslant C_n |t-s|^{1/2} (1 + \|\psi\|_{C([0,T]; E_1)}) \tag{42}
$$

for all $t, s \in [0,T]$, $\psi \in C([0,T]; E_1)$ and $g \in C([0,T]^n; E_1^{\otimes n})$.

We shall make use of the following assumptions.

(A.I) $\sigma : [0,T] \times C([0,T];\mathbb{R}^d) \to \mathbb{R}^d \otimes \mathbb{R}^r$ satisfies the condition $A_{2k,\mathbb{R}^d,\mathbb{R}^d\otimes\mathbb{R}^r}$ and $b : [0,T] \times C([0,T];\mathbb{R}^d) \to \mathbb{R}^d$ satisfies the condition $A_{2k,\mathbb{R}^d,\mathbb{R}^d}$;

(A.II) σ is bounded and uniformly nondegenerate, i.e., there exists a constant $c > 0$ such that $\sigma(t,\varphi) \cdot \sigma^*(t,\varphi) \geqslant c \cdot I$, where $(\sigma(t,\varphi) \cdot \sigma^*(t,\varphi))^{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^r \sigma_k^i(t,\varphi)\sigma_k^j(t,\varphi)$, $i,j = 1,\cdots,d$, for all $t \in [0,T]$ and $\varphi \in C([0,T];\mathbb{R}^d)$.

We can now state our main results.

Theorem 4.2. *Suppose that the coefficients (σ,b) of SDE (2) satisfy (A.I) and (A.II). Then for any $p > 1$, we have*

$$\sup_{n\geqslant 1} \|X_n(\cdot,x)\|_{2k,p,T;\mathbb{R}^d} < \infty \tag{43}$$

and

$$\|X_n(\cdot,x) - X(\cdot,x)\|_{2k,p,T;\mathbb{R}^d} = O(n^{-1/2}), \tag{44}$$

where the norm $\|\cdot\|_{2k,p,T;\mathbb{R}^d}$ is defined in (39). Furthermore, we also have

$$\|(\det \Sigma_{X(t,x)})^{-1}\|_p \;<\; \infty \tag{45}$$

and

$$\sup_{n\geqslant 1} \|(\det \Sigma_{X_n(t,x)})^{-1}\|_p \;<\; \infty \tag{46}$$

for every $1 < p < \infty$, $t \in [0,T]$.

Combining this with Theorem 3.1, we obtain the convergence rate of $\xi_2(x,T,n)$.

Theorem 4.3. *Suppose that the coefficients (σ,b) of SDE (2) satisfy (A.I) and (A.II). If $1 < p < \infty$ and $0 < \beta < \alpha \wedge (2k-1) - 1 - d/q$, $1/p + 1/q = 1$, $G \in \mathbb{D}_\alpha^q$ and $t \in [0,T]$, then setting*

$$p_{X_n(t),G}(y) = E[G \cdot \delta_y \circ X_n(t)] = E(G|X_n(t) = y)p_{X_n(t)}(y)$$

and

$$p_{X(t),G}(y) = E[G \cdot \delta_y \circ X(t)] = E(G|X(t) = y)p_{X(t)}(y),$$

we have $p_{X_n(t),G} \in C^\beta(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $p_{X(t),G} \in C^\beta(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and

$$\|p_{X_n(t),G} - p_{X(t),G}\|_{C^\beta(\mathbb{R}^d)} = O(n^{-1/2}). \tag{47}$$

In particular, taking $G = \mathbf{1} \in \mathbb{D}_\infty^{\infty-}$, we conclude that $p_{X_n(t)} \in C^\beta(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $p_{X(t)} \in C^\beta(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and

$$\|p_{X_n(t)} - p_{X(t)}\|_{C^\beta(\mathbb{R}^d)} = O(n^{-1/2}). \tag{48}$$

Furthermore, if G_n, $n = 1,2,\cdots$ and G are in $\mathbb{D}_\alpha^q$ and

$$\|G_n - G\|_{\alpha,q} = O(n^{-\lambda}),$$

then we have

$$\|p_{X_n(t),G_n} - p_{X(t),G}\|_{C^\beta(\mathbb{R}^d)} = O(n^{-(\lambda\wedge 1/2)}). \tag{49}$$

Proof. Note that the last conclusion of Theorem 4.2 has the same role as the condition (iii) in Theorem 3.1. Then from Theorem 4.2, we know that all the assumptions of Theorem 3.1 are satisfied when applied to $X_n(t)$ and $X(t)$, and so the conclusion follows. □

Remark 4.4. *It is worth noting that in some particular non-Markovian stochastic differential equations such as stochastic differential delay equations the convergence rate of the density can be improved to a better result. Indeed, Clément, Kohatsu-Higa and Lamberton* [7] *have obtained the convergence rate of the density for some stochastic differential delay equations is* $1/n$.

Now we give a proof of Theorem 4.2. Before proceeding, we prepare some propositions. In what follows we denote by C a generic constant which can be different from one formula to another. For convenience we set $k_n(t) = k$ if $kT/n \leqslant t < (k+1)T/n$, and we denote $\eta_n(t) = k_n(t)T/n$.

Proposition 4.5. *Suppose that the coefficients* (σ, b) *of SDE (2) satisfy the following conditions: there exists* $C_0 < \infty$ *such that*

$$\|\sigma(t,\phi) - \sigma(t,\psi)\|_{HS(\mathbb{R}^d;\mathbb{R}^r)} \vee \|b(t,\phi) - b(t,\psi)\|_{\mathbb{R}^d} \leqslant C_0 \|\phi - \psi\|_{C([0,t];\mathbb{R}^d)}, \tag{50}$$

$$\|\sigma(t,\phi) - \sigma(s,\phi)\|_{HS(\mathbb{R}^d;\mathbb{R}^r)} \vee \|b(t,\phi) - b(s,\phi)\|_{\mathbb{R}^d} \leqslant C_0 |t-s|^{1/2} (1 + \|\phi\|_{C([0,T];\mathbb{R}^d)}) \tag{51}$$

and

$$\|\sigma(t,\phi)\|_{HS(\mathbb{R}^d;\mathbb{R}^r)} \vee \|b(t,\phi)\|_{\mathbb{R}^d} \leqslant C_0 (1 + \|\phi|\|_{C([0,t];\mathbb{R}^d)}) \tag{52}$$

for every $t, s \in [0,T]$ *and* $\phi, \psi \in C([0,T];\mathbb{R}^d)$. *Then we have*

$$\sup_{n \geqslant 1} E[\sup_{0 \leqslant s \leqslant t} \|X_n(s)\|^{2p}] < \infty \tag{53}$$

for all $p > 1$ *and* $t \in [0,T]$. *Moreover, for every* $p > 1$ *there exists a constant* $C = C(p) < \infty$ *such that*

$$\sup_{0 \leqslant k \leqslant n-1} E[\sup_{\frac{kT}{n} \leqslant s < \frac{(k+1)T}{n}} \|X_n(s) - X_n(\eta_n(s))\|^{2p}] \leqslant C n^{-p} \tag{54}$$

for all n.

Proof. It is well known that the conditions (50) and (52) ensure the existence and uniqueness of the solution of SDE (2). Since

$$X_n(t) = X_n(\eta_n(t)) + \int_{\eta_n(t)}^t b(\eta_n(s), X_n(\cdot \wedge \eta_n(s)))ds + \int_{\eta_n(t)}^t \sigma(\eta_n(s), X_n(\cdot \wedge \eta_n(s)))dW(s),$$

we have

$$X_n(t) = x + \int_0^t b(\eta_n(s), X_n(\cdot \wedge \eta_n(s)))ds + \int_0^t \sigma(\eta_n(s), X_n(\cdot \wedge \eta_n(s)))dW(s).$$

Consequently

$$\begin{aligned}
E[\sup_{0 \leqslant s \leqslant t} \|X_n(s)\|^{2p}] &\leqslant 2^{2p-1} E[\sup_{0 \leqslant s \leqslant t} \| \int_0^s b(\eta_n(u), X_n(\cdot \wedge \eta_n(u)))du\|^{2p}] \\
&\quad + 2^{2p-1} E[\sup_{0 \leqslant s \leqslant t} \| \int_0^s \sigma(\eta_n(u), X_n(\cdot \wedge \eta_n(u)))dW(u)\|^{2p}] \\
&\leqslant 2^{2p-1} t^{2p-1} C_{2p} C_0 \int_0^t E[(1 + \sup_{0 \leqslant u \leqslant s} \|X_n(u)\|)^{2p}]ds \\
&\quad + 2^{2p-1} t^{p-1} C_{2p} C_0 \int_0^t E[(1 + \sup_{0 \leqslant u \leqslant s} \|X_n(u)\|)^{2p}]ds.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus by Gronwall's lemma, the proof of the first conclusion is completed. For the second conclusion, we proceed as follows. Since

$$X_n(t) - X_n(\eta_n(t)) = \int_{\eta_n(t)}^{t} b(\eta_n(s), X_n(\cdot \wedge \eta_n(s)))ds + \int_{\eta_n(t)}^{t} \sigma(\eta_n(s), X_n(\cdot \wedge \eta_n(s)))dW(s),$$

for fixed k, where $k = 0, 1, \cdots, n-1$, using BDG's inequality and (52), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& E[\sup_{\frac{kT}{n} \leqslant s < \frac{(k+1)T}{n}} \|X_n(s) - X_n(\eta_n(s))\|^{2p}] \\
\leqslant\ & 2^{2p-1} E[\sup_{\frac{kT}{n} \leqslant s < \frac{(k+1)T}{n}} \| \int_{\frac{kT}{n}}^{s} b(\eta_n(u), X_n(\cdot \wedge \eta_n(u)))du\|^{2p}] \\
& + 2^{2p-1} E[\sup_{\frac{kT}{n} \leqslant s < \frac{(k+1)T}{n}} \| \int_{\frac{kT}{n}}^{s} \sigma(\eta_n(u), X_n(\cdot \wedge \eta_n(u)))dW(u)\|^{2p}] \\
\leqslant\ & 2^{2p-1} n^{-2p+1} \int_{\frac{kT}{n}}^{\frac{(k+1)T}{n}} E[\|b(\eta_n(s), X_n(\cdot \wedge \eta_n(s)))\|^{2p}]ds \\
& + 2^{2p-1} n^{-p+1} C_{2p} \int_{\frac{kT}{n}}^{\frac{(k+1)T}{n}} E[\|\sigma(\eta_n(s), X_n(\cdot \wedge \eta_n(s)))\|^{2p}]ds \\
\leqslant\ & C_1 n^{-2p} + C_2 n^{-p},
\end{aligned}$$

and the proof is completed. □

Proposition 4.6. *Suppose that the coefficients (σ, b) of SDE (2) satisfy the conditions of Lemma 4.5. Then we have*

$$(E[\|X_n - X\|^p_{C([0,t];\mathbb{R}^d)}])^{1/p} = O(n^{-1/2}) \tag{55}$$

for all $p > 1$ and $t \in [0, T]$.

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}
& X_n(t) - X(t) \\
= \ & X_n(\eta_n(t)) - X(\eta_n(t)) + \int_{\eta_n(t)}^{t} b(\eta_n(s), X_n(\cdot \wedge \eta_n(s)))ds - \int_{\eta_n(t)}^{t} b(s, X(\cdot))ds \\
& + \int_{\eta_n(t)}^{t} \sigma(\eta_n(s), X_n(\cdot \wedge \eta_n(s)))dW(s) - \int_{\eta_n(t)}^{t} \sigma(s, X(\cdot))dW(s) \\
= \ & X_n(\eta_n(t)) - X(\eta_n(t)) + \int_{\eta_n(t)}^{t} (b(s, X_n(\cdot)) - b(s, X(\cdot)))ds \\
& + \int_{\eta_n(t)}^{t} (\sigma(s, X_n(\cdot)) - \sigma(s, X(\cdot)))dW(s) \\
& + \Lambda_{\eta_n(t),t},
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\Lambda_{\eta_n(t),t} \ := \ & \int_{\eta_n(t)}^{t} (b(\eta_n(s), X_n(\cdot \wedge \eta_n(s))) - b(s, X_n(\cdot \wedge \eta_n(s))))ds \\
& + \int_{\eta_n(t)}^{t} (b(s, X_n(\cdot \wedge \eta_n(s))) - b(s, X_n(\cdot)))ds
\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&+\int_{\eta_n(t)}^t (\sigma(\eta_n(s), X_n(\cdot\wedge\eta_n(s))) - \sigma(s, X_n(\cdot\wedge\eta_n(s))))dW(s)\\
&+\int_{\eta_n(t)}^t (\sigma(s, X_n(\cdot\wedge\eta_n(s))) - \sigma(s, X_n(\cdot)))dW(s).
\end{aligned}
$$

Repeatedly using the above formula, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
X_n(t) - X(t) &= \int_0^t (b(s, X_n(\cdot)) - b(s, X(\cdot)))ds\\
&\quad + \int_0^t (\sigma(s, X_n(\cdot)) - \sigma(s, X(\cdot)))dW(s)\\
&\quad + \sum_{k=0}^{k_n(t)} \Lambda_{\frac{kT}{n}, \frac{(k+1)T}{n}\wedge t}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Then using BDG's inequality and (50), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&E[\|X_n - X\|^{2p}_{C([0,t];\mathbb{R}^d)}]\\
\leqslant\ & C_1\int_0^t E[\|X_n - X\|^{2p}_{C([0,s];\mathbb{R}^d)}]ds + C_2 E[\sup_{0\leqslant s\leqslant t}\|\sum_{k=0}^{k_n(s)} \Lambda_{\frac{kT}{n}, \frac{(k+1)T}{n}\wedge s}\|^{2p}].
\end{aligned}
$$

For the last term we proceed as follows. First we have

$$
E[\sup_{0\leqslant s\leqslant t}\|\sum_{k=0}^{k_n(s)} \Lambda_{\frac{kT}{n}, \frac{(k+1)T}{n}\wedge s}\|^{2p}] \leqslant C(\Lambda_1 + \Lambda_2 + \Lambda_3 + \Lambda_4),
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Lambda_1 &= E[\sup_{0\leqslant s\leqslant t}\|\int_0^s (b(\eta_n(u), X_n(\cdot\wedge\eta_n(u))) - b(u, X_n(\cdot\wedge\eta_n(u))))du\|^{2p}],\\
\Lambda_2 &= E[\sup_{0\leqslant s\leqslant t}\|\int_0^s (b(u, X_n(\cdot\wedge\eta_n(u))) - b(u, X_n(\cdot)))du\|^{2p}],\\
\Lambda_3 &= E[\sup_{0\leqslant s\leqslant t}\|\int_0^s (\sigma(\eta_n(u), X_n(\cdot\wedge\eta_n(u))) - \sigma(u, X_n(\cdot\wedge\eta_n(u))))dW(u)\|^{2p}],\\
\Lambda_4 &= E[\sup_{0\leqslant s\leqslant t}\|\int_0^s (\sigma(u, X_n(\cdot\wedge\eta_n(u))) - \sigma(u, X_n(\cdot)))dW(u)\|^{2p}].
\end{aligned}
$$

In view of BDG's inequality and (51), the terms Λ_1 and Λ_3 are dominated by Cn^{-p}. Next we estimate the term Λ_4. In view of BDG's inequality and (50), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Lambda_4 &= E[\sup_{0\leqslant s\leqslant t}\|\int_0^s (\sigma(u, X_n(\cdot\wedge\eta_n(u))) - \sigma(u, X_n(\cdot)))dW(u)\|^{2p}]\\
&\leqslant C_{2p}t^{p-1}\int_0^t E[\|\sigma(s, X_n(\cdot\wedge\eta_n(s))) - \sigma(s, X_n(\cdot))\|^{2p}]ds\\
&\leqslant C_{2p}C_0 t^{p-1}\int_0^t E[\sup_{0\leqslant u\leqslant s}\|X_n(u\wedge\eta_n(s)) - X_n(u)\|^{2p}]ds.
\end{aligned}
$$

By Proposition 4.5, the above expression is dominated by $C'n^{-p}$, where $C' = C_{2p}C_0Ct^p$. The term Λ_2 can be estimated similarly. Thus combining the above estimates, we have

$$E[\|X_n - X\|^{2p}_{C([0,t];\mathbb{R}^d)}] \leqslant C_1 \int_0^t E[\|X_n - X\|^{2p}_{C([0,s];\mathbb{R}^d)}]ds + C_2 n^{-p} + C_3 n^{-2p}.$$

Then the proof is completed by the Gronwall's lemma. $\square$

We denote by $D^j_t F, t \in [0,T], j = 1, \cdots, r$, the derivative of a random variable F as an element of $\mathbb{L}^2([0,T] \times B; \mathbb{R}^r) \cong \mathbb{L}^2(B; H)$. Similary we denote by $D^{j_1,\cdots,j_n}_{t_1,\cdots,t_n} F$ the n-th derivative of F. Before the proof of our main proposition, we need the following lemma.

Proposition 4.7. *Suppose that the coefficients (σ, b) of SDE (2) satisfy the assumption (A.I), then for any $p > 1$, we have*

$$\sup_{n \geqslant 1} \|X_n(\cdot, x)\|_{2k,p,T;\mathbb{R}^d} < \infty. \tag{56}$$

Proof. We only give a proof of the case of the first order derivative, and the cases of higher order derivatives can be given in a similar way. By results in Kusuoka and Stroock [14], we have

$$\begin{aligned} D^j_r X^i_n(t) &= D^j_r X^i_n(\eta_n(t)) + \sigma^i_j(\eta_n(r), X_n(\cdot \wedge \eta_n(r)))1_{(\eta_n(t),t]}(r) \\ &\quad + \int_{\eta_n(t)}^t (b^i)^{\alpha_k}(\eta_n(s), X_n(\cdot \wedge \eta_n(s)))D^j_r X^k_n(* \wedge \eta_n(s))ds \\ &\quad + \int_{\eta_n(t)}^t (\sigma^i_l)^{\alpha_k}(\eta_n(s), X_n(\cdot \wedge \eta_n(s)))D^j_r X^k_n(* \wedge \eta_n(s))dW(s)^l, \end{aligned}$$

where $(b^i)^{\alpha_k}$ and $(\sigma^i_l)^{\alpha_k}$ are defined in (38), $\alpha_k = (0, \cdots, 0, 1, 0, \cdots, 0)$. Repeatedly using the above formula, we have

$$\begin{aligned} D^j_r X^i_n(t) &= \sum_{k=0}^{k_n(t)} \sigma^i_j(\eta_n(r), X_n(\cdot \wedge \eta_n(r)))1_{(\frac{kT}{n}, \frac{(k+1)T}{n}]}(r) \\ &\quad + \int_0^t (b^i)^{\alpha_k}(\eta_n(s), X_n(\cdot \wedge \eta_n(s)))D^j_r X^k_n(* \wedge \eta_n(s))ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^t (\sigma^i_l)^{\alpha_k}(\eta_n(s), X_n(\cdot \wedge \eta_n(s)))D^j_r X^k_n(* \wedge \eta_n(s))dW(s)^l. \end{aligned}$$

Thus using BDG's inequality and (41), we obtain

$$\sup_{n \geqslant 1} \sup_{0 \leqslant r \leqslant T} E[\sup_{0 \leqslant s \leqslant t} \|D^j_r X_n(s)\|^{2p}] < \infty$$

for all $p > 1$, $t \in [0,T]$ and $j = 1, \cdots, r$. The proof is thus established. $\square$

Proposition 4.8. *Suppose that the coefficients (σ, b) of SDE (2) satisfy the assumption (A.I), then for any $p > 1$, we have*

$$\|X_n(\cdot, x) - X(\cdot, x)\|_{2k,p,T;\mathbb{R}^d} = O(n^{-1/2}). \tag{57}$$

Proof. First by results in Kusuoka and Stroock [14], we have

$$\begin{aligned} D^j_r X^i(t) &= D^j_r X^i(\eta_n(t)) + \sigma^i_j(r, X(\cdot))1_{(\eta_n(t),t]}(r) \\ &\quad + \int_{\eta_n(t)}^t (b^i)^{\alpha_k}(s, X(\cdot))D^j_r X^k(*)ds + \int_{\eta_n(t)}^t (\sigma^i_l)^{\alpha_k}(s, X(\cdot))D^j_r X^k(*)dW(s)^l \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
D_r^j X_n^i(t) &= D_r^j X_n^i(\eta_n(t)) + \sigma_j^i(\eta_n(r), X_n(\cdot \wedge \eta_n(r)))1_{(\eta_n(t),t]}(r) \\
&\quad + \int_{\eta_n(t)}^t (b^i)^{\alpha_k}(\eta_n(s), X_n(\cdot \wedge \eta_n(s))) D_r^j X_n^k(* \wedge \eta_n(s)) ds \\
&\quad + \int_{\eta_n(t)}^t (\sigma_l^i)^{\alpha_k}(\eta_n(s), X_n(\cdot \wedge \eta_n(s))) D_r^j X_n^k(* \wedge \eta_n(s)) dW(s)^l.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned}
D_r^j X_n^i(t) - D_r^j X^i(t) &= D_r^j X_n^i(\eta_n(t)) - D_r^j X^i(\eta_n(t)) \\
&\quad + \sigma_j^i(\eta_n(r), X_n(\cdot \wedge \eta_n(r)))1_{(\eta_n(t),t]}(r) - \sigma_j^i(r, X(\cdot))1_{(\eta_n(t),t]}(r) \\
&\quad + \int_{\eta_n(t)}^t (b^i)^{\alpha_k}(\eta_n(s), X_n(\cdot \wedge \eta_n(s))) D_r^j X_n^k(* \wedge \eta_n(s)) ds \\
&\quad - \int_{\eta_n(t)}^t (b^i)^{\alpha_k}(s, X(\cdot)) D_r^j X^k(*) ds \\
&\quad + \int_{\eta_n(t)}^t (\sigma_l^i)^{\alpha_k}(\eta_n(s), X_n(\cdot \wedge \eta_n(s))) D_r^j X_n^k(* \wedge \eta_n(s)) dW(s)^l \\
&\quad - \int_{\eta_n(t)}^t (\sigma_l^i)^{\alpha_k}(s, X(\cdot)) D_r^j X^k(*) dW(s)^l \\
&= D_r^j X_n^i(\eta_n(t)) - D_r^j X^i(\eta_n(t)) \\
&\quad + \int_{\eta_n(t)}^t (b^i)^{\alpha_k}(s, X(\cdot))(D_r^j X_n^k(*) - D_r^j X^k(*)) ds \\
&\quad + \int_{\eta_n(t)}^t (\sigma_l^i)^{\alpha_k}(s, X(\cdot))(D_r^j X_n^k(*) - D_r^j X^k(*)) dW(s)^l \\
&\quad + \Lambda_{\eta_n(t),t}(r),
\end{aligned}$$

where $\Lambda_{\eta_n(t),t}(r)$ denotes the remaining terms. Repeatedly using the above formula, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
D_r^j X_n^i(t) - D_r^j X^i(t) &= \int_0^t (b^i)^{\alpha_k}(s, X(\cdot))(D_r^j X_n^k(*) - D_r^j X^k(*)) ds \\
&\quad + \int_0^t (\sigma_l^i)^{\alpha_k}(s, X(\cdot))(D_r^j X_n^k(*) - D_r^j X^k(*)) dW(s)^l \\
&\quad + \sum_{k=0}^{k_n(t)} \Lambda_{\frac{kT}{n}, \frac{(k+1)T}{n} \wedge t}(r) \\
&= \int_0^t (b^i)^{\alpha_k}(s, X(\cdot))(D_r^j X_n^k(*) - D_r^j X^k(*)) ds \\
&\quad + \int_0^t (\sigma_l^i)^{\alpha_k}(s, X(\cdot))(D_r^j X_n^k(*) - D_r^j X^k(*)) dW(s)^l \\
&\quad + \sum_{m=1}^{9} \Lambda_m(t, r),
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Lambda_1(t,r) &= \sum_{k=0}^{k_n(t)}[\sigma^i_j(\eta_n(r),X_n(\cdot\wedge\eta_n(r)))1_{(\frac{kT}{n},\frac{(k+1)T}{n}\wedge t]}(r)-\sigma^i_j(r,X(\cdot))1_{(\frac{kT}{n},\frac{(k+1)T}{n}\wedge t]}(r)],\\
\Lambda_2(t,r) &= \int_0^t((b^i)^{\alpha_k}(\eta_n(s),X_n(\cdot\wedge\eta_n(s)))-(b^i)^{\alpha_k}(s,X_n(\cdot\wedge\eta_n(s))))D^j_rX^k_n(*\wedge\eta_n(s))ds,\\
\Lambda_3(t,r) &= \int_0^t(b^i)^{\alpha_k}(s,X_n(\cdot\wedge\eta_n(s)))(D^j_rX^k_n(*\wedge\eta_n(s))-D^j_rX^k_n(*))ds,\\
\Lambda_4(t,r) &= \int_0^t((b^i)^{\alpha_k}(s,X_n(\cdot\wedge\eta_n(s)))-(b^i)^{\alpha_k}(s,X_n(\cdot)))D^j_rX^k_n(*)ds,\\
\Lambda_5(t,r) &= \int_0^t((b^i)^{\alpha_k}(s,X_n(\cdot))-(b^i)^{\alpha_k}(s,X(\cdot)))D^j_rX^k_n(*)ds,\\
\Lambda_6(t,r) &= \int_0^t((\sigma^i_l)^{\alpha_k}(\eta_n(s),X_n(\cdot\wedge\eta_n(s)))-(\sigma^i_l)^{\alpha_k}(s,X_n(\cdot\wedge\eta_n(s))))D^j_rX^k_n(*\wedge\eta_n(s))dW(s)^l,\\
\Lambda_7(t,r) &= \int_0^t(\sigma^i_l)^{\alpha_k}(s,X_n(\cdot\wedge\eta_n(s)))(D^j_rX^k_n(*\wedge\eta_n(s))-D^j_rX^k_n(*))dW(s)^l,\\
\Lambda_8(t,r) &= \int_0^t((\sigma^i_l)^{\alpha_k}(s,X_n(\cdot\wedge\eta_n(s)))-(\sigma^i_l)^{\alpha_k}(s,X_n(\cdot)))D^j_rX^k_n(*)dW(s)^l,\\
\Lambda_9(t,r) &= \int_0^t((\sigma^i_l)^{\alpha_k}(s,X_n(\cdot))-(\sigma^i_l)^{\alpha_k}(s,X(\cdot)))D^j_rX^k_n(*)dW(s)^l.
\end{aligned}
$$

Then by BDG's inequality for Hilbert space valued stochastic integrals (cf. [14, Lemma 2.1]) and Assumption (A.I), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&E[\sup_{0\leqslant t\leqslant T}\|DX^i_n(t)-DX^i(t)\|^{2p}_H]\\
=\;& E[\sup_{0\leqslant t\leqslant T}(\int_0^T\|D_rX^i_n(t)-D_rX^i(t)\|^2dr)^p]\\
\leqslant\;& 3^{2p-1}E[\sup_{0\leqslant t\leqslant T}(\int_0^T(\int_0^t(b^i)^{\alpha_k}(s,X(\cdot))(D^j_rX^k_n(*)-D^j_rX^k(*))ds)^2dr)^p]\\
&+3^{2p-1}E[\sup_{0\leqslant t\leqslant T}(\int_0^T(\int_0^t(\sigma^i_l)^{\alpha_k}(s,X(\cdot))(D^j_rX^k_n(*)-D^j_rX^k(*))dW(s)^l)^2dr)^p]\\
&+3^{2p-1}E[\sup_{0\leqslant t\leqslant T}(\int_0^T(\sum_{m=1}^9\Lambda_m(t,r))^2dr)^p]\\
\leqslant\;& C_1\int_0^TE[\sup_{0\leqslant s\leqslant t}\|DX_n(s)-DX(s)\|^{2p}_{H\otimes\mathbb{R}^d}]dt+C_2\sum_{m=1}^9E[\sup_{0\leqslant t\leqslant T}(\int_0^T(\Lambda_m(t,r))^2dr)^p].
\end{aligned}
$$

Let

$$\Xi_m:=E[\sup_{0\leqslant t\leqslant T}(\int_0^T(\Lambda_m(t,r))^2dr)^p],\quad m=1,\cdots,9.$$

Then to complete the proof, we just have to show each of nine terms Ξ_m are dominated by Cn^{-p}. We first observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^T (\sum_{k=0}^{k_n(t)} [\sigma_j^i(\eta_n(r), X_n(\cdot\wedge\eta_n(r)))1_{(\frac{kT}{n},\frac{(k+1)T}{n}\wedge t]}(r) - \sigma_j^i(r, X(\cdot))1_{(\frac{kT}{n},\frac{(k+1)T}{n}\wedge t]}(r)])^2 dr\\
= \;& \int_0^t (\sigma_j^i(\eta_n(r), X_n(\cdot\wedge\eta_n(r))) - \sigma_j^i(r, X(\cdot)))^2 dr\\
\leqslant \;& 3\int_0^t (\sigma_j^i(\eta_n(r), X_n(\cdot\wedge\eta_n(r))) - \sigma_j^i(r, X_n(\cdot\wedge\eta_n(r))))^2 dr\\
& +3\int_0^t (\sigma_j^i(r, X_n(\cdot\wedge\eta_n(r))) - \sigma_j^i(r, X_n(\cdot)))^2 dr\\
& +3\int_0^t (\sigma_j^i(r, X_n(\cdot)) - \sigma_j^i(r, X(\cdot)))^2 dr\\
\leqslant \;& 3TC_0^2(1+\|X_n(\cdot)\|_{C([0,T];\mathbb{R}^d)})^2 n^{-1} + 3C_0^2\int_0^t \sup_{0\leqslant u\leqslant r}|X_n(u\wedge\eta_n(r)) - X_n(u)|^2 dr\\
& +3C_0^2\int_0^t \sup_{0\leqslant u\leqslant r}|X_n(u) - X(u)|^2 dr.
\end{aligned}$$

Then in view of Proposition 4.5 and Proposition 4.6, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\Xi_1 \;=\;& E[\sup_{0\leqslant t\leqslant T}(\int_0^T (\sum_{k=0}^{k_n(t)} [\sigma_j^i(\eta_n(r), X_n(\cdot\wedge\eta_n(r)))1_{(\frac{kT}{n},\frac{(k+1)T}{n}\wedge t]}(r)\\
& - \sigma_j^i(r, X(\cdot))1_{(\frac{kT}{n},\frac{(k+1)T}{n}\wedge t]}(r)])^2 dr)^p]\\
\leqslant \;& 3^{p-1}3^pT^pC_0^{2p}E[(1+\|X_n(\cdot)\|_{C([0,T];\mathbb{R}^d)})^{2p}]n^{-p}\\
& + 3^{p-1}3^pT^{p-1}C_0^{2p}\int_0^T E[\sup_{0\leqslant u\leqslant r}|X_n(u\wedge\eta_n(r)) - X_n(u)|^{2p}]dr\\
& + 3^{p-1}3^pT^{p-1}C_0^{2p}\int_0^T E[\sup_{0\leqslant u\leqslant r}|X_n(u) - X(u)|^{2p}]dr\\
\leqslant \;& 3^{p-1}3^pT^pC_0^{2p}Cn^{-p} + 3^{p-1}3^pT^pC_0^{2p}Cn^{-p} + 3^{p-1}3^pT^pC_0^{2p}Cn^{-p}\\
\leqslant \;& Cn^{-p}.
\end{aligned}$$

Next we estimate the terms Ξ_2, Ξ_4, Ξ_5, Ξ_6, Ξ_8 and Ξ_9. Using BDG's inequality for Hilbert space valued stochastic integrals, (41), Proposition 4.5 and Proposition 4.7, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\Xi_8 \;=\;& E[\sup_{0\leqslant t\leqslant T}(\int_0^T (\int_0^t ((\sigma_l^i)^{\alpha_k}(s, X_n(\cdot\wedge\eta_n(s))) - (\sigma_l^i)^{\alpha_k}(s, X_n(\cdot)))D_r^jX_n^k(*)dW(s)^l)^2 dr)^p]\\
\leqslant \;& C_{2p}T^{p-1}\int_0^T E[(\int_0^T (((\sigma_l^i)^{\alpha_k}(t, X_n(\cdot\wedge\eta_n(t))) - (\sigma_l^i)^{\alpha_k}(t, X_n(\cdot)))D_r^jX_n^k(*))^2 dr)^p]dt\\
\leqslant \;& C_{2p}T^{2p-2}\int_0^T\int_0^T E[(((\sigma_l^i)^{\alpha_k}(t, X_n(\cdot\wedge\eta_n(t))) - (\sigma_l^i)^{\alpha_k}(t, X_n(\cdot)))D_r^jX_n^k(*))^{2p}]drdt\\
\leqslant \;& C_{2p}T^{2p-1}\int_0^T E[\|(\sigma_l^i)^{\alpha_k}(t, X_n(\cdot\wedge\eta_n(t))) - (\sigma_l^i)^{\alpha_k}(t, X_n(\cdot))\|^{2p}_{Hom(C([0,t];\mathbb{R}^d);\mathbb{R})}]dt
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leqslant C_{2p}C_1T^{2p-1}\int_0^T E[\sup_{0\leqslant s\leqslant t}\|X_n(s\wedge\eta_n(t))-X_n(s)\|^{2p}]dt\\
&\leqslant C_{2p}C_1C'T^{2p}n^{-p}.
\end{aligned}$$

The term Ξ_4 can be estimated similarly. Using BDG's inequality for Hilbert space valued stochastic integrals, (41), Proposition 4.6 and Proposition 4.7, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\Xi_9 &= E[\sup_{0\leqslant t\leqslant T}(\int_0^T(\int_0^t((\sigma_l^i)^{\alpha_k}(s,X_n(\cdot))-(\sigma_l^i)^{\alpha_k}(s,X(\cdot)))D_r^jX_n^k(*)dW(s)^l)^2dr)^p]\\
&\leqslant C_{2p}T^{p-1}\int_0^T E[(\int_0^T(((\sigma_l^i)^{\alpha_k}(t,X_n(\cdot))-(\sigma_l^i)^{\alpha_k}(t,X(\cdot)))D_r^jX_n^k(*))^2dr)^p]dt\\
&\leqslant C_{2p}T^{2p-2}\int_0^T\int_0^T E[(((\sigma_l^i)^{\alpha_k}(t,X_n(\cdot))-(\sigma_l^i)^{\alpha_k}(t,X(\cdot)))D_r^jX_n^k(*))^{2p}]drdt\\
&\leqslant C_{2p}T^{2p-1}\int_0^T E[\|(\sigma_l^i)^{\alpha_k}(t,X_n(\cdot))-(\sigma_l^i)^{\alpha_k}(t,X(\cdot))\|^{2p}_{Hom(C([0,t];\mathbb{R}^d);\mathbb{R})}]dt\\
&\leqslant C_{2p}C_1T^{2p-1}\int_0^T E[\sup_{0\leqslant s\leqslant t}\|X_n(s)-X(s)\|^{2p}]dt\\
&\leqslant C_{2p}C_1CT^{2p}n^{-p}.
\end{aligned}$$

We can apply the similar way to estimate the term Ξ_5. In view of BDG's inequality for Hilbert space valued stochastic integrals and (42), we can also obtain that the terms Ξ_2 and Ξ_6 are dominated by Cn^{-p}. Finally we deal with the terms Ξ_3 and Ξ_7. Since

$$\begin{aligned}
D_r^jX_n^i(t)-D_r^jX_n^i(\eta_n(t)) &= \sigma_j^i(\eta_n(r),X_n(\cdot\wedge\eta_n(r)))1_{(\eta_n(t),t]}(r)\\
&\quad+\int_{\eta_n(t)}^t(b^i)^{\alpha_k}(\eta_n(s),X_n(\cdot\wedge\eta_n(s)))D_r^jX_n^k(*\wedge\eta_n(s))ds\\
&\quad+\int_{\eta_n(t)}^t(\sigma_l^i)^{\alpha_k}(\eta_n(s),X_n(\cdot\wedge\eta_n(s)))D_r^jX_n^k(*\wedge\eta_n(s))dW(s)^l,
\end{aligned}$$

using BDG's inequality for Hilbert space valued stochastic integrals and (41), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Xi_7\\
&= E[\sup_{0\leqslant t\leqslant T}(\int_0^T(\int_0^t(\sigma_l^i)^{\alpha_k}(s,X_n(\cdot\wedge\eta_n(s)))(D_r^jX_n^k(*\wedge\eta_n(s))-D_r^jX_n^k(*))dW(s)^l)^2dr)^p]\\
&\leqslant C_{2p}C_1T^{p-1}\int_0^T E[(\int_0^T((\sigma_l^i)^{\alpha_k}(t,X_n(\cdot\wedge\eta_n(t)))(D_r^jX_n^k(*\wedge\eta_n(t))-D_r^jX_n^k(*)))^2dr)^p]dt\\
&\leqslant C_{2p}C_1T^{p-1}\int_0^T E[(\int_0^T\|D_r^jX_n^k(*\wedge\eta_n(t))-D_r^jX_n^k(*)\|^2_{C([\eta_n(t),t];\mathbb{R})}dr)^p]dt\\
&\leqslant 3^{2p-1}C_{2p}C_1T^{p-1}\int_0^T E[(\int_0^T\sup_{\eta_n(t)\leqslant s\leqslant t}|\sigma_j^k(\eta_n(r),X_n(\cdot\wedge\eta_n(r)))1_{(\eta_n(s),s]}(r)|^2dr)^p]dt\\
&\quad+3^{2p-1}C_{2p}C_1T^{p-1}\int_0^T E[(\int_0^T\sup_{\eta_n(t)\leqslant s\leqslant t}|\int_{\eta_n(s)}^s(b^k)^{\alpha_i}(\eta_n(u),X_n(\cdot\wedge\eta_n(u)))\\
&\qquad D_r^jX_n^i(*\wedge\eta_n(u))du|^2dr)^p]dt\\
&\quad+3^{2p-1}C_{2p}C_1T^{p-1}\int_0^T E[(\int_0^T\sup_{\eta_n(t)\leqslant s\leqslant t}|\int_{\eta_n(s)}^s(\sigma_l^k)^{\alpha_i}(\eta_n(u),X_n(\cdot\wedge\eta_n(u)))
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \quad D_r^j X_n^i(* \wedge \eta_n(u))dW(u)^l|^2 dr)^p]dt \\ := & \quad C(\Xi_{71} + \Xi_{72} + \Xi_{73}). \end{aligned}$$

For the term Ξ_{71}, we proceed as follows. We notice that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^T \sup_{\eta_n(t) \leqslant s \leqslant t} |\sigma_j^k(\eta_n(r), X_n(\cdot \wedge \eta_n(r))) 1_{(\eta_n(s),s]}(r)|^2 dr \\ = & \int_0^T [|\sigma_j^k(\eta_n(r), X_n(\cdot \wedge \eta_n(r))) 1_{(\eta_n(t),t]}(r)|^2] dr \\ = & \int_{\eta_n(t)}^t [|\sigma_j^k(\eta_n(r), X_n(\cdot \wedge \eta_n(r)))|^2] dr \\ \leqslant & \ C_0^2 (1 + \sup_{0 \leqslant u \leqslant T} |X_n(u \wedge \eta_n(r))|)^2 n^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Then we obtain

$$\Xi_{71} \leqslant T C_0^{2p} E[(1 + \sup_{0 \leqslant u \leqslant T} |X_n(u \wedge \eta_n(r))|)^{2p}] n^{-p} \leqslant C n^{-p}.$$

Now we consider the term Ξ_{73}. By BDG's inequality and Assumption (A.I), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \Xi_{73} \\ \leqslant & \ T^{p-1} \int_0^T \int_0^T E[\sup_{\eta_n(t) \leqslant s \leqslant t} | \int_{\eta_n(s)}^s (\sigma_l^k)^{\alpha_i}(\eta_n(u), X_n(\cdot \wedge \eta_n(u))) D_r^j X_n^i(* \wedge \eta_n(u)) dW(u)^l|^{2p}] dr dt \\ \leqslant & \ C_{2p} T^{p-1} n^{-p+1} \int_0^T \int_0^T \int_{\eta_n(t)}^t E[|(\sigma_l^k)^{\alpha_i}(\eta_n(s), X_n(\cdot \wedge \eta_n(s))) D_r^j X_n^i(* \wedge \eta_n(s))|^{2p}] ds dr dt \\ \leqslant & \ C_{2p} T^{p-1} n^{-p+1} \int_0^T \int_0^T \int_{\eta_n(t)}^t E[\sup_{0 \leqslant u \leqslant \eta_n(s)} |D_r^j X_n^i(u)|^{2p}] ds dr dt \\ \leqslant & \ C n^{-p}. \end{aligned}$$

A similar estimate holds for the term Ξ_{72}. The term Ξ_3 can be estimated similarly. Thus we have shown

$$E[\sup_{0 \leqslant t \leqslant T} \|DX_n(t) - DX(t)\|_{H \otimes \mathbb{R}^d}^{2p}] \leqslant C_1 \int_0^T E[\sup_{0 \leqslant s \leqslant t} \|DX_n(s) - DX(s)\|_{H \otimes \mathbb{R}^d}^{2p}] dt + C_2 n^{-p}.$$

Then by the Gronwall's lemma, we have

$$E[\sup_{0 \leqslant t \leqslant T} \|DX_n(t) - DX(t)\|_{H \otimes \mathbb{R}^d}^{2p}] \leqslant C n^{-p}.$$

For the case of higher order derivatives, we proceed in the same way. The proof is therefore completed. □

Now we are ready to give the proof of Theorem 4.2.

Proof of Theorem 4.2. The conclusions (43) and (44) have been proved in Proposition 4.7 and Proposition 4.8, respectively. So it remains only to prove (45) and (46). Since

$$X_n(t) = x + \int_0^t b(\eta_n(s), X_n(\cdot \wedge \eta_n(s))) ds + \int_0^t \sigma(\eta_n(s), X_n(\cdot \wedge \eta_n(s))) dW(s),$$

we can rewrite it in the following form:

$$X_n(t) = x + \int_0^t b_n(s, X_n(s)) ds + \int_0^t \sigma_n(s, X_n(s)) dW(s),$$

where

$$b_n(s, X_n(\cdot)) := b(\eta_n(s), X_n(\cdot \wedge \eta_n(s))) \text{ and } \sigma_n(s, X_n(\cdot)) := \sigma(\eta_n(s), X_n(\cdot \wedge \eta_n(s))),$$

and the coefficients (σ_n, b_n) also satisfy the uniform elliptic condition. Thus by [14, Theorem 3.5, Corollary 3.9], we obtain the nondegeneracy of $X(T)$ and $X_n(T)$. Therefore we complete the proof. $\square$

Acknowledgement: We are very grateful to Professor V. Bally for informing us his recent works and, in particular sending them the reference [4], which has helped us to improve the first version of this paper.

References

[1] R. A. Adams, J. J. Fournier, Sobolev Spaces, Second Edition, Academic Press, New York, 1978.

[2] H. Airault, P. Malliavin, J. Ren, Smoothness of stopping times of diffusion processes, J. Math. Pures Appl. 78 (1999) 1069-1091.

[3] V. Bally, L. Caramellino, Riesz transform and integration by parts formulas for random variables, Stoch. Process Appl. 121 (2011) 1332-1355.

[4] V. Bally, L. Caramellino, Positivity and lower bounds for the density of Wiener functionals, Potential Analysis, 10.1007/s11118-012-9324-7.

[5] V. Bally, D. Talay, The law of the Euler scheme for stochastic differential equations: I. Convergence rate of the distribution function, Probab. Theory Relat. Fields 104 (1) (1996) 43-60.

[6] V. Bally, D. Talay, The law of the Euler scheme for stochastic differential equations: II. Convergence rate of the rate of the density, Monte Carlo Methods Appl. 2 (1996) 93-128.

[7] E. Clément, A. Kohatsu-Higa, D. Lamberton, A duality approach for the weak approximation of stochastic differential equations, Ann. Appl. Probab. 16 (3) (2006) 1124-1154.

[8] J. Guyon, Euler scheme and tempered distribution, Stoch. Process Appl. 116 (6) (2006) 877-904.

[9] F. Hirsch, Lipschitz functions and fractional Sobolev spaces, Potential Analysis 11 (1999) 415-429.

[10] J. Hu, J. Ren, Infinite dimensional quasi continuity, path continuity and ray continuity of functions with fractional regularity, J. Math. Pures Appl. 80 (1) (2001) 131-152.

[11] Z. Huang, J. Yan, Introduction to Infinite Dimensional Stochastic Analysis (in chinese), Monographs in Pure and Appl. Mathematics, 37, Chinese Academic Press, Beijing, 1997.

[12] A. Kohatsu-Higa, R. Pettersson, On the simulation of some functionals of diffusion processes, Proceeding of the "Fourth workshop on stochastic numerics", Research Institute for the Mathematical Sciences, Kyoto, 2000.

[13] S. Kusuoka, On the foundation of Wiener-Riemannian manifolds, In: K.D. Elworthy, J.C. Zambrini (eds.) Stochastic analysis, path integration and dynamics, 130-164, Harlow, Essex, Longman, 1989.

[14] S. Kusuoka, D. Stroock, Applications of the Malliavin calculus, Part I, In Stochastic analysis (Kata/Kyto,1982), North-Holland Math. Library, 32, 271-306, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1984.

[15] P. Malliavin, Stochastic Analysis, Grund. Math. Wissen., 313, Springer, Berlin, 1997.

[16] D. Nualart, The Malliavin Calculus and Related Topics, Second Edition, Probability and its Applications, Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 2006.

[17] J. Ren, S. Watanabe, A convergence theorem for probability densities and condition expectations of Wiener functionals. Dirichlet forms and stochastic processes (Beijing, 1993) 335-344, de Gruyter, Berlin, 1995.

[18] D. Talay, L.Turbaro, Expansion of the global error for numerical schemes solving stochastic differential equations, Stoch. Anal. Appl. 8 (4) (1990) 94-120.

[19] H. Triebel, Interpolation Theory, Function Space, Differential Operator, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1978.

[20] S. Watanabe, Fractional order Sobolev space on Wiener space, Probab. Theory Relat. Fields 95 (1993) 175-198.